

October 25, 2012

MEMORANDUM

TO: University Senate Members

FROM: Martha Nell Smith
Chair of the University Senate

SUBJECT: University Senate Meeting on Thursday, November 1, 2012

The next meeting of the University Senate will be held on Thursday, November 1, 2012. The meeting will run from **3:15 p.m. – 5:00 p.m.**, in the **Colony Ballroom (2nd Floor) of the Stamp Student Union**. If you are unable to attend, please contact the Senate Office¹ by calling 301-405-5805 or sending an email to senate-admin@umd.edu for an excused absence. Your response will assure an accurate quorum count for the meeting.

The meeting materials can be accessed on the Senate Web site. Please go to <http://www.senate.umd.edu/meetings/materials/> and click on the date of the meeting.

Meeting Agenda

1. Call to Order
2. Approval of the October 10, 2012, Senate Minutes (Action)
3. Report of the Chair
4. Special Order of the Day
Wallace D. Loh
President of the University of Maryland, College Park
2012 State of the Campus Address
5. Activation of the USM "[Institution] Professor" Title as "University of Maryland Professor" (Senate Doc. No. 12-13-16) (Action)
6. New Business
7. Adjournment

¹ Any request for excused absence made after 1:00 p.m. will not be recorded as an excused absence.

University Senate

October 10, 2012

Members Present

Members present at the meeting: 97

Call to Order

Senate Chair Smith called the meeting to order at 3:18 p.m.

Approval of the Minutes

Chair Smith asked for additions or corrections to the minutes of the September 20, 2012 meeting. Hearing none, she declared the minutes approved as distributed.

Report of the Chair

Nominations Committee

Smith stated that outgoing Senators should have received a message from the Senate Office requesting volunteers to serve on the Nominations Committee. This important committee is charged with soliciting nominations from the membership of the Senate for the Executive Committee, Chair-Elect, the Committee on Committees, and other University-wide committees and councils whose members will be elected at the annual transition of the Senate in May. The Committee serves a very important purpose yet meets only a few times during the period of late-January through March. The Senate relies on the good judgment of the members of the Nominations Committee to present candidates that reflect the quality and diversity of our campus community. Smith encouraged any outgoing senators to consider serving on this important committee. Those interested can send an email to senate-admin@umd.edu. The Senate will vote on the Nominations Committee slate at its December meeting.

BOR Staff Awards

Smith stated that the Staff Affairs Committee is currently accepting nominations for the prestigious Board of Regents' Staff Awards. Eight individuals within the University System of Maryland will be selected as award recipients, including one non-exempt and one exempt staff member for each of the four award categories. Recipients will receive a \$1,000 award and system-wide recognition. The nomination process is detailed on the Senate website and nomination packages must be submitted to the Senate Office by Friday, November 9, 2012. Smith encouraged senators to nominate a staff member for an award.

Next Meeting

Smith announced that the next Senate meeting would be held on Thursday, November 1, 2012. President Loh will be presenting his State of the Campus Address. This meeting will be held in the Colony Ballroom of the Union to accommodate the larger audience that is anticipated.

Review of the Interim Faculty Parental Leave Policy (Senate Doc. No. 12-13-04) (Action)

Ellin Scholnick, Chair of the Faculty Affairs Committee, presented the committee's report and made a motion to amend the Interim Faculty Parental Leave Policy. Smith opened the floor to discussion of the committee's recommendations.

Senator Gullickson, Faculty, College of Arts & Humanities, stated that she does not object to the recommendations but raised concerns about colleagues having to pick up teaching for half a semester. Did the committee discuss this kind of problem?

Scholnick stated that the committee did discuss this issue and the policy has other mechanisms to fill in the gap through collegial leave or modified duties. The Family Leave Act already allows for eight weeks of leave.

Hearing no further discussion, Smith called for a vote of the Senate. The result was 71 in favor, 5 against, and 9 abstentions. **The motion to approve the recommendations passed.**

Special Order of the Day

Patricia Steele

Dean of the Libraries & Chair of the Open Access Task Force

Open Access at Maryland – Is it Time?

Chair Smith welcomed Patricia Steele, Dean of the Libraries & Chair of the Open Access Task Force, to present to the Senate.

Overview

Steele gave a brief overview on open access (OA) and explained that open access is not free. Neither is open access publishing a vanity press. OA publishing does in fact involve peer review. OA is not here to threaten academic life, favorite publishers, or professional societies. Open access is not to be ignored. In fact, OA cannot be ignored. Open access is in the air. We hear a lot of rhetoric about open access to affordable health care, government records, private lands, natural resources, and financial markets. So, why shouldn't our own scholarship be open?

Maryland Timeline

Steele reviewed the Maryland Timeline related to Open Access. In August 2004, the Digital Repository at the University of Maryland (DRUM) was established. In April 2008 the Libraries passed a resolution in support of open access. However, in April 2009, the Senate rejected a resolution on open access. In October 2010, the Libraries sponsored an Open Access Week. In April 2011, the University Library Council recommended the creation of a joint Provost/Senate task force to review open access and that recommendation was approved by the Senate.

Open Access Task Force

Steele explained that the charge to the task force was to evaluate the Berlin Declaration and decide whether our University should endorse it. The task force was asked to review the work of our peers on this issue as well as whether open access aligns with our mission. If necessary, the task force was asked to make recommendations for policy changes.

Key Points

Steele stated that the academy should own its scholarship, and that we have an unsustainable business model as far as publishing is concerned. In effect, scholars give away their scholarship to publishers who then charge the libraries who distribute that scholarship. There is a \$1B market for publishers. How do we use a productively disruptive technology or other innovation to find a new paradigm to make this work? A promising possibility is Open Access, which allows us to think differently about our relationship with the publishers. The models we develop should be ones that give scholars, researchers, and the libraries that serve them more control. Open access is a significant factor in the future of academic publishing. UM needs to recognize that and define strategies to shape its development

Berlin Declaration

Steele gave an overview of the Berlin Declaration, which was developed in 2003. She explained that the two conditions are that everything is available freely and there is a copy put into a repository such as DRUM. The same rigors of peer review and standards applied to paper publishing should be applied to open access. 391 institutions worldwide have signed the Berlin Declaration. Signatories will work to encourage researchers to publish work according to the principles of the open access paradigm, and develop means and ways to evaluate open access contributions and online journals in order to maintain the standards of quality assurance and good scientific practice. They will also advocate that open access publication be recognized in promotion and tenure evaluations.

Open Access Models

There are a couple of models for open access publishing. The Gold Access model is when your article that is peer-reviewed, edited, and ready for print is available openly. There are costs (approximately \$3,000) associated with this

model because there are author's processing fees for publishers. These can be paid by grants. The Green Access Model allows authors to make a pre-print available in his/her institutional repository. Sometimes there is an embargo period during which the article is closed-access.

Barriers

Steele reviewed some of the barriers to open access. There is an assumption that there is no rigor applied to OA's peer review, but that is not true. The impact on current publishing models and professional societies is also a concern. There are disciplinary differences, and new forms of scholarship are not yet trusted. There is great uncertainty over sustainability of these models and deep reliance on current impact measures. There are also concerns about preservation. 80% of what the Libraries purchase is electronic so there are concerns about how to preserve those resources for the future.

Current Practices

There is an addendum that authors can include when they submit articles to publishers. It could allow embargo periods or submission to repositories. There are some concerns that the addendum could affect an author's relationship with the publisher compromise a press's willingness to publish his/her work. However, most publishers are now more accepting of authors retaining some copyright rights.

Role of Libraries

Steele noted that DRUM is available for journal articles. They are also working with faculty on open access publishing. Libraries have joined a coalition with grant funding to see how a group of libraries can work together to provide open access. Libraries can also help with funding fees for open access publishing. Some universities have established funds for faculty. Libraries can help with education, new models, and stewardship to control costs.

Strategies

Open access must be a priority for the administration. We need to incorporate incentive and reward structures that align with the goal of open access. We need to model new behaviors in evaluation, promotion, and tenure process. We also need more mechanisms to encourage thinking beyond today.

Taking the Pulse

Is this the future?

Do we agree that we can't ignore this?

Where is Maryland now and where should it be?

How should we get there?

Q & A

Senator Cooperman, Faculty, College of Arts & Humanities, stated that he was on the University Library Council (ULC) when open access was reviewed. He

was astonished by the fears and questions that came forward during that review. He stated that we now distribute information electronically, have developed mechanisms that make it legal by password protection, and our students consume information that way. The flow of information is far too large to be accommodated by the old style, and open access is a necessary step in the right direction. However, if the University adopts this change, our obligation is to develop a University Press to produce the scholarly output of its faculty. We should not be fooled by the existing financial model and should take advantage of this to develop new ways to distribute information.

Steele responded that there is a movement of University presses coming into the libraries so that they are a part of an infrastructure within the institution.

Senator Davis, Faculty, A. James Clark School of Engineering, asked whether Google has already circumvented open access through free online .pdf files of articles. He further noted that if publishers print books at a reasonable cost, they would probably sell more books.

Steele responded that publishers fear the lack of control that comes with electronic forms. It is difficult for publishers to understand that if they give away more, they will get more. There are some e-textbook models where a professor can guarantee that his/her students will buy a journal. In the future we expect a machine/kiosk where students can select and print journals.

Senator Musser, Faculty, College of Agriculture and Natural Resources, stated that he did not know much about open access before the presentation. However, we should not fight over quality because it is the same as in the current situation. The only issue is control and access.

Senator Ellis, Non-Tenured Research Faculty, stated that he currently does work that is federally funded and requires certain elements to be publicly available. When it involves large end data sets, we have full-time staff develop applications to make those data sets interactive and usable beyond simple downloads. That is quite expensive compared to the funding required to post the written aspect of journal articles in an open source way. Has the task force considered open access models that involve data sets or other expensive aspects of publishing?

Steele responded that the task force has not yet considered that aspect. However, the libraries have recently started a scholarly support service for data. We are now part of the Academic Preservation (AP) Trust, which is made up of 12 universities that are putting together a shared repository. We need to work with authors on how to organize the data stored in this repository.

Senator Mallios, Faculty, College of Arts & Humanities, stated that he had learned a lot about open access from the presentation, but he expressed concern about the descriptions of publishers. He has had very reasonable interactions

with them and would like evidence about how publishers are squeezing us. Is it part of the open access model for faculty to pay to have journals printed? How is this transition going to happen? Who is going to get these people to the other side?

Steele responded that we are under the control of the publishers, especially in the sciences. There are already author-processing fees in the print world that can move to open access. We need to figure out how to balance the transition over time. If we support a model where the publishers still get a revenue stream. In any event, we need to keep pushing publishers and have higher expectations as an academy.

Senator Gullickson, Faculty, College of Arts & Humanities inquired whether the University would become an electronic publisher of our own work and noted that it is hard to see how we get there. She raised concerns about the unintended consequences. If people go to an electronic format, what happens to the books?

Steele stated that Libraries ensure that books will survive in print. However, printing on demand is a technology that will be a big part of our future. The pre-1923 materials that are open access can be printed or read online. Steele noted that at her past university, they started open access with folklore because clientele did not want to wait for a printed journal. The Libraries are already dealing with the kind of technology that will enable this. So it is a matter of how much the University wants to put into that type of model. It is possible but it is a matter of whether the university wants to enact the practice.

Senator Lathrop, Faculty, College of Computer, Mathematical, and Natural Sciences, stated that the National Science Foundation (NSF) has data sharing policies. "Investigators are expected to share with other researchers at no more than incremental costs and within a reasonable time the primary data, samples, physical collections and other supporting materials created or gathered in the course of the work under NSF grants." We do not have a plan on how to handle this big data. The volume of data compared to the print articles could be a thousand times (or more) larger.

Steele stated that the needs have to be assessed first before moving forward. We need to help shape what this means because NSF has not yet explained what this means.

Hearing no further discussion, Smith thanked Steele for her presentation.

New Business

Smith opened the floor to any New Business.

Senator Lindemann, Faculty, College of Arts & Humanities, made a motion to pass a resolution regarding marriage equality. She stated that it is a matter of social and political import facing the voters of the State of Maryland in the upcoming election. Voters can weigh in on the Civil Marriage Protection Act, which was passed by the Legislature and signed into law by Governor O'Malley last spring. Voters will be asked to affirm or reject a law that extends to same-sex partners the right to civil marriage while protecting the rights of clergy not to perform marriages that violate their religious beliefs. She proposed a resolution that expressed the Senate's support for the principle of marriage equality and encouraging voters to vote in favor of Question 6. The law has the support of Governor O'Malley, President Loh, and the SGA. There will be two important events tomorrow on campus in relation to Question 6 including a panel discussion by LGBT Studies and a rally featuring Senator Ben Cardin.

Whereas the University of Maryland supports those in same-sex relationships by offering campus level spousal benefits to domestic partners; and,

Whereas the State of Maryland offers domestic partner benefits to all state employees; and,

Whereas the University System of Maryland does not offer domestic partner benefits including tuition remission, bereavement leave, and elements of family policies; and,

Whereas the University System of Maryland does recognize same-sex marriages performed in the District of Columbia and other states that currently offer marriage equality; and,

Whereas university employees in same-sex relationships must leave the State of Maryland to obtain a legal document in order to qualify for benefits offered to all married employees;

Be it resolved that the University Senate supports marriage equality and encourages voters to vote yes on Question 6 (to uphold the Civil Marriage Protection Act) in the upcoming election.

The motion was seconded. Smith opened the floor to discussion of the resolution.

Senator Hample, Faculty, College of Arts & Humanities, stated that he has not been on the Senate for long but he is curious about the level of political activity involved. If we pass this, we are establishing ourselves as supporters of one political group over another. We would never endorse a presidential candidate so where is the line for us for issues that go beyond the campus or the University System?

Lindemann stated that the resolution is consistent with the University's values of fairness, equity, and inclusion. If the law were to pass, it would rectify a basic inequity among employees of the University.

Samantha Zwerling, SGA President-Non-Voting Ex-Officio, provided the SGA perspective on marriage equality and whether it is our right to support such a resolution. She stated that students are able to get married because they are over 18, and we want to attract the best and brightest faculty so we should support this resolution.

Senator Ellis, Non-Tenured Research Faculty, stated that regardless of the broader political ramifications, the University Senate has taken positions on political issues at stake in elections in the State of Maryland, at least in part because they impact university policy.

Senator Gullickson, Faculty, College of Arts & Humanities stated that the Senate has in the past passed resolutions directed towards the Board of Regents (BOR) and state government asking for same-sex domestic partner benefits. We have not been successful, but we have made our position known. This resolution follows the Senate's past work.

Senator Davis, Faculty, A. James Clark School of Engineering, stated that he was Chair of Senate when we first passed domestic partner benefits. He was disappointed that it took this long for us to get to this point, yet he raised concerns about the language related to the upcoming election. We should not make a political statement about what to do in the upcoming election. We could be subject to some criticism if we are overtly political in this particular case. This is a civil rights issue that we should absolutely support but we might be going beyond our purview by telling people how to vote.

Senator Davis made a motion to amend the resolution by removing: "*and encourages voters to vote yes on Question 6 (to uphold the Civil Marriage Protection Act) in the upcoming election.*" The motion was seconded.

Smith opened the floor to discussion of the amendment.

Senator Lindemann, Faculty, College of Arts & Humanities, stated that she appreciated the rationale for the amendment, but it seems to water-down the resolution.

Senator Mallios, Faculty, College of Arts & Humanities, stated that he respects the idea behind the amendment but strongly supports the resolution. We do not want to politically dial this back. We want to have confidence of the immediacy and conviction that drives this in the first place. This is a special kind of political issue that goes to what all reasonable and compassionate people should agree

upon. That is what distinguishes this from endorsing a political candidate. While he understands the other perspective, he will vote against the amendment.

Senator Popkin, Undergraduate, College of Behavioral & Social Sciences, echoed the past two comments. He thinks there should be a strong stance whatever you believe. He encouraged senators to vote in opposition to the amendment.

Senator Miletich, Undergraduate, College of Arts & Humanities, stated that the language at the end of the resolution helps with voter education. This resolution will be for press purposes, as it will be noted in the Diamondback. Taking that language out keeps voters in the dark about what is prompting this resolution. This specific language will educate the voters on what is happening right now.

Senator Burns, Undergraduate, College of Computer, Mathematical, and Natural Sciences, stated that removing the language would weaken the resolution. Endorsing a particular candidate would not be something that we should do on behalf of the University but for a small resolution, we need to fully support it.

Senator Lieb, Undergraduate, College of Computer, Mathematical, and Natural Sciences, stated that he supports the resolution. It is a divisive issue, but he rejects the amendment. If we are going to be taking a stance on a contentious issue, it should be a very clear, direct, and concrete stance. The original language does provide a better indication of how we want to represent ourselves on this issue.

Hearing no further discussion, Smith called for a vote on the amendment. The result was 25 in favor, 47 against, and 2 abstentions. **The motion to approve the amendment failed.**

Hearing no further discussion on the original resolution, Smith called for a vote on the resolution. The result was 63 in favor, 8 against, and 3 abstentions. **The motion to approve the resolution passed.**

Adjournment

Senate Chair Smith adjourned the meeting at 4:47 p.m.



University Senate TRANSMITTAL FORM

Senate Document #:	12-13-16
Title:	Activation of the USM “[Institution] Professor” Title as “University of Maryland Professor”
Presenter:	Ellin Scholnick, Chair, Senate Faculty Affairs Committee (FAC)
Date of SEC Review:	October 17, 2012
Date of Senate Review:	November 1, 2012
Voting (highlight one):	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On resolutions or recommendations one by one, or 2. In a single vote 3. To endorse entire report
Statement of Issue:	<p>The University System of Maryland (USM) Policy on Appointment, Rank, and Tenure of Faculty (II-1.00) describes the general criteria for a number of ranks to which faculty may be appointed at each constituent institution. The policy includes a section on “[Institution] Professor;” this section of the USM policy must be activated at each campus in order to be conferred. The University of Maryland Policy on Appointment, Promotion, and Tenure of Faculty (II-1.00 (A)) has been amended to activate titles in the past, and was most recently amended in January of 2012 to activate the clinical faculty titles included in the USM policy.</p> <p>In early 2012, the University of Maryland College Park (UMCP) and the University of Maryland Baltimore (UMB) developed a new partnership to foster collaboration between the institutions and strengthen the impact of their joint research and education. As part of this new <i>MPowering the State</i> initiative, UMCP would like to activate the “[Institution] Professor” title included in the USM policy as “University of Maryland Professor” for faculty holding joint appointments at UMCP and UMB. The new title would be granted to full-time professor level UMB faculty active in the initiative who also meet UMCP’s requirements for a full-time professor. Activation of this title would complement the reciprocal conditions already established at UMB to appoint UMCP faculty at its campus.</p>
Relevant Policy # & URL:	II – 1.00 University System of Maryland Policy on Appointment, Rank, and Tenure of Faculty

	<p>http://www.president.umd.edu/policies/docs/II-100.pdf II – 1.00 (A) University of Maryland Policy on Appointment, Promotion, and Tenure of Faculty http://www.president.umd.edu/policies/docs/II-100A.pdf</p>
Recommendation:	<p>The Faculty Affairs Committee recommends that the University of Maryland Policy on Appointment, Promotion, and Tenure of Faculty (II-1.00(A)) be amended to reflect the proposed language to activate the “[Institution] Professor” title for use as University of Maryland Professor.</p>
Committee Work:	<p>The FAC reviewed Section IIC (Faculty Ranks) of the University System of Maryland Policy on Appointment, Rank, and Tenure of Faculty at its meeting on October 4, 2012 and discussed the context for the proposal with the Associate Provost for Faculty Affairs. The proposed language would allow UMB faculty who are working on collaborations across the two institutions to hold the title of “University of Maryland Professor.”</p> <p>The committee discussed and approved changes to the proposed policy to clarify the appointment process and to whom the title applied, and voted to approve activating the title. The proposed language is to be inserted as section I, subsection F, number 11, as follows:</p> <p><u>University of Maryland Professor</u></p> <p>This title may be used for nationally distinguished scholars, creative or performing artists, or researchers who have qualified for full-time appointments at the University of Maryland, Baltimore at the level of professor, who are active in MPowering the State programs, and who also qualify for full-time appointment at the University of Maryland, College Park at the level of professor. Holders of this title may provide graduate student supervision, serve as principal investigators, and participate in departmental and shared governance. Initial appointments are for three years and are renewable annually upon recommendation to the Provost by the unit head and dean. This is a non-paid, non-tenure track title but initial appointments must follow the procedures for appointment as a new tenured Professor.</p> <p>The FAC voted in favor of amending the policy to activate the “University of Maryland Professor” title for use at UMCP.</p>

Alternatives:	The University could decide not to adopt this title and continue to operate under the currently available titles for faculty. Because these faculty would only hold a title at their own institution, it might hinder the progress of the <i>MPowering the State</i> initiative and prevent full collaboration between UMCP and UMB.
Risks:	There are no associated risks.
Financial Implications:	There are no related financial implications because these appointments would be unpaid.
Further Approvals Required:	Senate Approval, Presidential Approval.

Senate Faculty Affairs Committee

Senate Document 12-13-16

Activation of the USM “[Institution] Professor” Title as “University of Maryland Professor”

October 2012

BACKGROUND:

The University System of Maryland (USM) Policy on Appointment, Rank, and Tenure of Faculty (II – 1.00) (Appendix 4) describes the general criteria by which faculty are evaluated for appointment to specific ranks for all constituent institutions. The policy includes a section on “[Institution] Professor” which may be used for “nationally distinguished scholars, creative or performing artists, or researchers”. However, this section of the USM policy must be activated at each campus before it can be conferred. The University of Maryland has activated several titles relevant to its constituents. Most recently, in January of 2012, the University Senate approved a recommendation to activate clinical faculty titles included in the USM policy for use at the University of Maryland (UM).

In early 2012, the University of Maryland College Park (UMCP) and the University of Maryland Baltimore (UMB) developed a new partnership called *MPowering the State*. This new initiative will foster collaboration between the two institutions. It was created to find ways to make better use of resources, to better serve students, to attract the best faculty and researchers, and to improve research and technology at both institutions. In order to support collaboration between our University and UMB, a proposal was submitted to the University Senate to consider activating the “[Institution] Professor” title on our campus to allow faculty who play a role in enabling cooperation between the institutions to use the title “University of Maryland Professor”.

Currently, there is no formal mechanism to create this specific type of collaborative appointment between the two institutions. Once activated at both campuses, the title of “University of Maryland Professor” can be used for professors who are enabling cooperation between the institutions as part of the *MPowering the State* initiative. This new title will be granted to full-time professor level UMB faculty active in this new initiative that meet the requirements set by UMCP to be a professor. Initial appointments will be for three years and are renewable annually but will be unpaid. Reciprocal conditions have already been established at UMB to appoint UMCP faculty at its campus.

COMMITTEE WORK:

The Faculty Affairs Committee (FAC) was charged (Appendix 2) by the Senate Executive Committee (SEC) with reviewing the proposal, Activation of the USM “[Institution] Professor” Title as “University of Maryland Professor” on September 27, 2012 (Appendix 3). The FAC reviewed the relevant section of the University System of Maryland Policy on Appointment,

Rank, and Tenure of Faculty (11-1.00) Section IIC (Faculty Ranks) at its meeting on October 4, 2012. The Associate Provost for Faculty Affairs discussed the proposal and provided additional context regarding its purpose and intent. As part of the *MPowering the State* initiative, UMCP and UMB will be developing joint appointments and finding new ways to collaborate moving forward. Both universities are able to use the official designation of “University of Maryland”, and would like to be able to use the title of “[Institution] Professor” as designated in the USM policy as “University of Maryland Professor” to apply to individuals who hold joint appointments to play a role in that collaboration.

The committee discussed and approved specific changes to the proposed policy to clarify the appointment process for this title. The committee voted to approve the recommended clarifications and amend the University of Maryland Policy on Appointment, Promotion, and Tenure of Faculty (II-1.00(A)) to activate this title for use in these circumstances. After further discussions, additional changes were made clarify to whom this title applied. These changes were incorporated into the final title description and the committee voted to accept the changes. The proposed language is to be inserted as section I, subsection F, number 11, as follows:

University of Maryland Professor

This title may be used for nationally distinguished scholars, creative or performing artists, or researchers who have qualified for full-time appointments at the University of Maryland, Baltimore at the level of professor, who are active in MPowering the State programs, and who also qualify for full-time appointment at the University of Maryland, College Park at the level of professor. Holders of this title may provide graduate student supervision, serve as principal investigators, and participate in departmental and shared governance. Initial appointments are for three years and are renewable annually upon recommendation to the Provost by the unit head and dean. This is a non-paid, non-tenure track title but initial appointments must follow the procedures for appointment as a new tenured Professor.

The Faculty Affairs Committee voted in favor of approving the final proposed changes to the language and activating the University of Maryland Professor title.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Faculty Affairs Committee recommends that the University of Maryland Policy on Appointment, Promotion, and Tenure of Faculty (II-1.00(A)) be amended to reflect the attached proposed language (Appendix 1) to activate the “[Institution] Professor” title for use as University of Maryland Professor.

APPENDICES:

Appendix 1 – Proposed University of Maryland Policy on Appointment, Promotion, and Tenure of Faculty.

Appendix 2 – Senate Executive Committee Charge on the Activation of the USM “[Institution] Professor” Title as “University of Maryland Professor”.

Appendix 3 – Activation of the USM “[Institution] Professor” Title as “University of Maryland Professor” Proposal

Appendix 4 – University System of Maryland (USM) Policy on Appointment, Rank, and Tenure of Faculty.

**APPENDIX 1 - PROPOSED UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND POLICY
ON APPOINTMENT, PROMOTION, AND TENURE OF FACULTY**

II-1.00(A) UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND POLICY ON APPOINTMENT,
PROMOTION, AND TENURE OF FACULTY

APPROVED BY THE PRESIDENT, FEBRUARY 16, 1993; APPROVED BY THE CHANCELLOR, MARCH 26, 1993; TEXT ON DISTINGUISHED UNIVERSITY PROFESSOR APPROVED BY THE CHANCELLOR ON APRIL 15, 1994; TEXT ON EMERITUS STATUS ADDED 1995; TEXT ON MANDATORY RETIREMENT AT AGE 70 REMOVED MARCH, 1996; TEXT ON TERM OF SERVICE FOR APT COMMITTEE MEMBERS AMENDED FEBRUARY 1998; TEXT ON PROFESSOR OF PRACTICE AMENDED 1998; TEXT ON SENIOR LECTURER ADDED NOVEMBER 2002; TEXT ON APPEALS PROCESS AMENDED AUGUST 2003; TEXT ON FIELD FACULTY ADDED OCTOBER 2003; TEXT ON LIBRARIANS ADDED APRIL, 2004; APPROVED BY THE PRESIDENT AND THE CHANCELLOR, DECEMBER 2004, EFFECTIVE AUGUST 23, 2005, TEXT ON COLLEGE PARK PROFESSOR ADDED JUNE 2005, CONTINUING THROUGH MAY 2012. TEXT ON LIBRARIAN EMERITA /EMERITUS STATUS ADDED APRIL 2006; TEXT ON FACULTY WITH SPLIT APPOINTMENTS ON APT COMMITTEES ADDED APRIL 2006; TEXT ON FACULTY EXTENSION AGENT AND ASSOCIATE AGENT AMENDED DECEMBER 15, 2006; TEXT ON COMPOSITION OF THIRD OR CAMPUS-LEVEL REVIEW COMMITTEE AMENDED NOVEMBER 23, 2010; TEXT ON CLINICAL FACULTY TITLES ADDED MARCH 13, 2012; TEXT ON CLINICAL FACULTY TITLES AMENDED MAY 9, 2012.

This policy complements the University of Maryland System Policy on Appointment, Rank, and Tenure of Faculty, adapting that policy in accordance with the institutional mission of the University of Maryland at College Park. Within the framework of the System Policy, it specifies the criteria and procedures related to faculty personnel actions which shall apply to the University of Maryland at College Park.

Subject to the provisions of paragraphs I.C.15 and I.C.17 of the University of Maryland System Policy on Appointment, Rank and Tenure of Faculty (1989), the provisions of paragraph III.C of this University of Maryland at College Park Policy on Appointment, Promotion and Tenure of Faculty shall be published in the Faculty Handbook and shall constitute part of the contractually binding agreement between the university and the faculty member. Any proposed changes to this University of Maryland at College Park Policy on Appointment, Promotion and Tenure of Faculty shall be submitted for initial review and endorsement by the College Park Campus Senate.

Terminological Note

The procedures spelled out in this document for tenure and promotion review specify three levels of review below the President's office. For most faculty members these are the department, the college, and the campus levels. However, some faculty members are appointed in colleges and schools that are not departmentalized and that conduct the initial review at the college or school level. For uniform terminology the initial review, whether conducted by a department or a non-

departmentalized school or college, is referred to as a “first-level review,” and “department” is usually replaced by “first-level unit.” First-level units thus comprise departments, non-departmentalized schools, and non-departmentalized colleges. Higher levels of review are referred to as “second-level” and “third-level.”

For the purpose of this policy, the term "university" and the term "institution" shall be synonymous and shall mean the University of Maryland at College Park. For the purpose of this policy, the word "days" shall refer to calendar days.

Purpose of this Policy

The University of Maryland is dedicated to the discovery and the transmission of knowledge and to the achievement of excellence in its academic disciplines. Each faculty member has a personal responsibility for contributing to the achievement of excellence in his or her own academic discipline and for exercising the best judgment in advancing the department, the college, and the University. Those faculty members holding the rank of Professor have the greatest responsibility for establishing and maintaining the highest standards of academic performance within the University. This Policy on the Appointment, Promotion, and Tenure of Faculty exists to set the standards for appointment and promotion to the various faculty ranks and to recognize and to encourage the achievement of excellence on the part of the faculty members through the awarding of tenure and through promotion within the faculty ranks. Through this process the University builds and enhances its educational programs and services and it advances the state of knowledge which supports the growth and development of our society.

I. MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS FOR APPOINTMENT OR PROMOTION TO THE ACADEMIC AND ACADEMIC ADMINISTRATIVE RANKS

The only faculty ranks which may involve a tenure commitment are: Professor, Associate Professor, Assistant Professor, Principal Agent, Senior Agent, and Agent, and such other ranks as the Board of Regents may approve. Effective April 5, 1989, appointments to all other ranks, including any qualified rank, other than an honorific qualification, in which an additional adjective is introduced, are for a definite term and do not involve a tenure commitment. Those granted tenure in such a rank before April 5, 1989, shall continue to hold tenure in that rank.

The following shall be the minimum qualifications for appointment or promotion to the academic ranks in use by the University of Maryland at College Park.

A. Faculty with Duties in Teaching and Research

1. Instructor^a

^a As of November 14, 1995, this title may NOT be used for new appointments.

An appointee to the rank of Instructor ordinarily shall hold the highest earned degree in his or her field of specialization. There shall be evidence also of potential for excellence in teaching and for a successful academic career. The rank does not carry tenure.

2. Assistant Professor

The appointee shall have qualities suggesting a high level of teaching ability in the relevant academic field, and shall provide evidence of potential for superior research, scholarship, or artistic creativity in the field. Because this is a tenure-track position, the appointee shall at the time of appointment show promise of having, at such time as he or she is to be reviewed for tenure and promotion in accordance with paragraph I.C.4 of the University of Maryland System Policy and paragraph III.C.3 of this policy, the qualities described under "Associate Professor" below. In most fields the doctorate shall be a requirement for appointment to an assistant professorship. Although the rank normally leads to review for tenure and promotion, persons appointed to the rank of Assistant Professor after the effective date of this policy shall not be granted tenure in this rank.

3. Associate Professor

In addition to having the qualifications of an Assistant Professor, the appointee shall have a high level of competence in teaching and advisement in the relevant academic field, shall have demonstrated significant research, scholarship, or artistic creativity in the field and shall have shown promise of continued productivity, shall be competent to direct work of major subdivisions of the primary academic unit and to offer graduate instruction and direct graduate research, and shall have served the campus, the profession, or the community in some useful way in addition to teaching and research. Promotion to the rank from within confers tenure; appointment to the rank from without may confer tenure.

4. Professor

In addition to having the qualifications of an Associate Professor, the appointee shall have established a national and, where appropriate, international reputation for outstanding research, scholarship or artistic creativity, and a distinguished record of teaching. There also must be a record of continuing evidence of relevant and effective professional service. The rank carries tenure.

B. Faculty with Duties Primarily in Research, Scholarship, or Artistic Creativity

All appointments in the following titles are renewable. Appointments with these

faculty titles do not carry tenure.

1. Faculty Research Assistant

The appointee shall be capable of assisting in research under the direction of the head of a research project and shall have ability and training adequate to the carrying out of the particular techniques required, the assembling of data, and the use and care of any specialized apparatus. A baccalaureate degree shall be the minimum requirement.

2. Research Associate

The appointee shall be trained in research procedures, shall be capable of carrying out individual research or collaborating in group research at the advanced level, and shall have had the experience and specialized training necessary for success in such research projects as may be undertaken. An earned doctorate shall normally be a minimum requirement.

3. Research Assistant Professor; Assistant Research Scientist; Assistant Research Scholar; Assistant Research Engineer

These ranks are generally parallel to Assistant Professor. In addition to the qualifications of a Research Associate, appointees to these ranks shall have demonstrated superior research ability. Appointees should be qualified and competent to direct the work of others (such as technicians, graduate students, other senior research personnel). The doctoral degree will be a normal requirement for appointment at these ranks. Appointment to these ranks may be made for a period of up to three years.

4. Research Associate Professor; Associate Research Scientist; Associate Research Scholar; Associate Research Engineer

These ranks are generally parallel to Associate Professor. In addition to the qualifications required of the assistant ranks, appointees to these ranks should have extensive successful experience in scholarly or creative endeavors, and the ability to propose, develop, and manage major research projects. Appointment to these ranks may be made for a period of up to three years.

5. Research Professor; Senior Research Scientist; Senior Research Scholar; Senior Research Engineer

These ranks are generally parallel to Professor. In addition to the qualifications required of the associate ranks, appointees to these ranks should have demonstrated a degree of proficiency sufficient to establish an excellent

reputation among regional and national colleagues. Appointees should provide tangible evidence of sound scholarly production in research, publications, professional achievements or other distinguished and creative activity. Appointment to these ranks may be made for a period of up to five years.

6. Assistant Artist-in-Residence; Associate Artist-in-Residence; Senior Artist-in-Residence

These titles, parallel to Assistant Professor, Associate Professor, and Professor, respectively, are intended for those persons whose professional activities are of a creative or performance nature, including but not limited to theatre, dance, music, and art. In each case, the qualifications shall reflect demonstrated superior proficiency and excellence and progressively higher national and international reputation, as appropriate to the ranks involved. Appointment to the rank of Senior Artist-in-Residence may be made for a period of up to five years; appointment to the ranks of Assistant Artist-in-Residence and Associate Artist-in-Residence may be made for a period of up to three years.

C. Field Faculty

1. Associate Agent

The appointee shall hold at least a bachelor's degree and shall show evidence of ability to work with people. The appointee shall have an educational background related to the specific position and should demonstrate evidence of creative ability to plan and implement Cooperative Extension Service programs. This is a term appointment and may be renewed annually.

2. Faculty Extension Assistant

The appointee shall be capable of assisting in Extension under the direction of the head of an Extension project and have the specialized expertise, training and ability to perform the duties required. An earned bachelor's degree and experience in the specialized field is required.

3. Faculty Extension Associate

The appointee shall be capable of carrying out individual instruction or collaborating in group discussions at the advanced level, should be trained in Extension procedures, and should have had the experience and specialized training necessary to develop and interpret data required for success in such Extension projects as may be undertaken. An earned doctorate shall be the minimum requirement.

4. Agent (parallel to the rank of Assistant Professor)

The appointee must hold a master's degree in an appropriate discipline and show evidence of academic ability and leadership skills. The appointee shall have an educational background related to the specific position.

5. Senior Agent (parallel to the rank of Associate Professor)

In addition to the qualifications of an Agent, the appointee must have demonstrated achievement in program development and must have shown originality and creative ability in designing new programs, teaching effectiveness, and evidence of service to the community, institution, and profession. Appointment to this rank may carry tenure.

6. Principal Agent (parallel to the rank of Professor)

In addition to the qualifications of a Senior Agent, the appointee must have demonstrated leadership ability and evidence of service to the community, institution, and profession. The appointee must also have received recognition for contributions to the Cooperative Extension Service sufficient to establish a reputation among State, regional and/or national colleagues, and should have demonstrated evidence of distinguished achievement in creative program development. Appointment to this rank carried tenure.

D. Faculty Engaged Exclusively Or Primarily in Clinical Teaching

All appointments in the following titles are renewable. Appointments with these faculty titles do not carry tenure.

1. Clinical Assistant Professor

The appointee shall hold, as a minimum, the terminal professional degree in the field, with training and experience in an area of specialization. There must be clear evidence of a high level of ability in clinical practice and teaching in the departmental field, and the potential for clinical and teaching excellence in a subdivision of this field. The appointee should also have demonstrated scholarly and/or administrative ability.

2. Clinical Associate Professor

In addition to the qualifications required of a Clinical Assistant Professor, the appointee should ordinarily have had extensive successful experience in clinical or professional practice in a field of specialization, or in a subdivision of the departmental field, and in working with and/or directing others (such as

professionals, faculty members, graduate students, fellows, and residents or interns) in clinical activities in the field. The appointee must also have demonstrated superior teaching ability and scholarly or administrative accomplishments.

3. Clinical Professor

In addition to the qualifications required of a Clinical Associate Professor, the appointee shall have demonstrated a degree of excellence in clinical practice and teaching sufficient to establish an outstanding regional and national reputation among colleagues. The appointee shall also have demonstrated extraordinary scholarly competence and leadership in the profession.

E. Faculty Engaged Exclusively or Primarily in Library Services

Library faculty hold the ranks of Librarian I-IV. Each rank requires a master's degree from an American Library Association accredited program or a graduate degree in another field where appropriate. The master's degree is considered the terminal degree. Appointments to these ranks are for 12 months with leave and other benefits provided to twelve-month tenured/tenure track faculty members with the exception of terminal leave, sabbatical leave, and non-creditable sick leave (collegially supported).

Permanent status is an institutional commitment to permanent and continuous employment to be terminated only for adequate cause (for example, professional or scholarly misconduct; incompetence; moral turpitude; or willful neglect of duty) and only after due process in accordance with relevant USM and campus policies. Librarians at the rank of Librarian I and Librarian II are not eligible for permanent status. Permanent status is available for library faculty holding the rank of Librarian III and Librarian IV. Those candidates without permanent status applying for the rank of Librarian III and Librarian IV shall be considered concurrently for permanent status.

1. Librarian I

This is an entry-level rank, assigned to librarians with little or no professional library experience. This rank does not carry permanent status.

2. Librarian II

Librarians at this rank have demonstrated professional development evidenced by achievement of a specialization in a subject, service, technical, administrative, or other area of value to the library. This rank does not carry permanent status.

3. Librarian III

Librarians at this rank have a high level of competence in performing professional duties requiring specialized knowledge or experience. They shall have served the Libraries, the campus, or the community in some significant way; have shown evidence of creative or scholarly contribution; and have been involved in mentoring and providing developmental opportunities for their colleagues. They shall have shown promise of continued productivity in librarianship, service, and scholarship or creativity. Promotion to this rank from within the Libraries confers permanent status; appointment to this rank from outside the Libraries may confer permanent status.

4. Librarian IV

Librarians at this rank show evidence of superior performance at the highest levels of specialized work and professional responsibility. They have shown evidence of and demonstrate promise for continued contribution in valuable service and significant creative or scholarly contribution. Such achievement must include leadership roles and have resulted in the attainment of Libraries, campus, state, regional, national, or international recognition. This rank carries permanent status.

F. Additional Faculty Ranks

1. Assistant Instructor

The appointee shall be competent to fill a specific position in an acceptable manner, but he or she is not required to meet all the requirements for an Instructor. He or she shall hold the appropriate baccalaureate degree or possess equivalent experience.

2. Lecturer

The title Lecturer will ordinarily be used to designate appointments, at any salary and experience level, of persons who are serving in a teaching capacity for a limited time or part-time. This rank does not carry tenure.

3. Senior Lecturer

In addition to having the qualifications of a lecturer, the appointee normally shall have established over the course of six years a record of teaching excellence and service. Appointment to this rank requires the approval of the departmental faculty. The appointment is made for a term not to exceed five years and is renewable. This rank does not carry tenure.

4. Adjunct Assistant Professor, Adjunct Associate Professor, Adjunct Professor

The appointee shall be associated with the faculty of a department or non-departmentalized school or college, but shall not be essential to the development of that unit's program. The titles do not carry tenure. The appointee may be paid or unpaid. The appointee may be employed outside the University, but shall not hold another paid appointment at the University of Maryland at College Park. The appointee shall have such expertise in his or her discipline and be so well regarded that his or her appointment will have the endorsement of the majority of the members of the professorial faculty of the academic unit. Any academic unit may recommend to the administration persons of these ranks; normally, the number of adjunct appointments shall comprise no more than a small percentage of the faculty in an academic unit. Appointments to these ranks shall not extend beyond the end of the fiscal year during which the appointment becomes effective and may be renewed.

5. Affiliate Assistant Professor, Affiliate Associate Professor, Affiliate Professor, Affiliate Librarian II, Affiliate Librarian III, and Affiliate Librarian IV

These titles shall be used to recognize the affiliation of a faculty member or other university employee with an academic unit other than that to which his or her appointment and salary are formally linked. The nature of the affiliation shall be specified in writing, and the appointment shall be made upon the recommendation of the faculty of the department with which the appointee is to be affiliated and with the consent of the faculty of his or her primary department. The rank of affiliation shall be commensurate with the appointee's qualifications.

6. Visiting Appointments

The prefix Visiting before an academic title, e.g., Visiting Professor, shall be used to designate a short-term professorial appointment without tenure.

7. Emerita, Emeritus

The word emerita or emeritus after an academic title shall designate a faculty member who has retired from full-time employment in the University of Maryland at College Park after meritorious service to the University in the areas of teaching, research, or service. Emerita or emeritus status may be conferred on Associate Professors, Professors, Distinguished University Professors, Research Associate Professors, Research Professors, Senior Agents, Principal Agents, Librarians III, and Librarians IV.

8. Distinguished University Professor

The title Distinguished University Professor will be conferred by the President upon a limited number of members of the faculty of the University of Maryland at College Park in recognition of distinguished achievement in teaching; research or creative activities; and service to the University, the profession, and the community. College Park faculty who, at the time of approval of this title, carry the title of Distinguished Professor, will be permitted to retain their present title or to change to the title of Distinguished University Professor. Designation as Distinguished University Professor shall include an annual allocation of funds to support his or her professional activities, to be expended in accordance with applicable University policies.

9. Professor of the Practice

This title may be used to appoint individuals who have demonstrated excellence in the practice as well as leadership in specific fields. The appointee shall have attained regional and national prominence and, when appropriate, international recognition of outstanding achievement. Additionally, the appointee shall have demonstrated superior teaching ability appropriate to assigned responsibilities. As a minimum, the appointee shall hold the terminal professional degree in the field or equivalent stature by virtue of experience. Appointees will hold the rank of Professor but, while having the stature, will not have rights that are limited to tenured faculty. Initial appointment is for periods up to five years, and reappointment is possible. This title does not carry tenure, nor does time served as a Professor of the Practice count toward achieving tenure in another title.

10. College Park Professor

This title may be used for nationally distinguished scholars, creative or performing artists, or researchers who would qualify for appointment at the University of Maryland at College Park at the level of professor but who normally hold full-time positions outside the University. Holders of this title may provide graduate student supervision, serve as principal investigators, and participate in departmental and college shared governance. Initial appointment is for three years and is renewable annually upon recommendation to the Provost by the unit head and dean. Appointment as a College Park Professor does not carry tenure or expectation of salary.

11. University of Maryland Professor

This title may be used for nationally distinguished scholars, creative or performing artists, or researchers who have qualified for full-time appointments at the University of Maryland, Baltimore at the level of professor, who are active in MPowering the State programs, and who also

qualify for full-time appointment at the University of Maryland, College Park at the level of professor. Holders of this title may provide graduate student supervision, serve as principal investigators, and participate in departmental and shared governance. Initial appointments are for three years and are renewable annually upon recommendation to the Provost by the unit head and dean. This is a non-paid, non-tenure track title but initial appointments must follow the procedures for appointment as a new tenured Professor.

1112. Other Titles

No new faculty titles or designations shall be created by the University of Maryland at College Park for appointees to faculty status without approval by the Campus Senate and the President.

II. CRITERIA FOR APPOINTMENT AND PROMOTION

The criteria for appointment, tenure, and promotion shall reflect the educational mission of the University of Maryland at College Park: to provide an undergraduate education ranked among the best in the nation; to provide a nationally and internationally renowned program of graduate education and research, making significant contributions to the arts, the humanities, the professions, and the sciences; and to provide public service to the state and the nation embodying the best tradition of outstanding land-grant colleges and universities.

In the case of both appointments and promotions every effort shall be made to fill positions with persons of the highest qualifications. Search, appointment, and promotion procedures shall comply with institutional policies, including affirmative action guidelines, and be widely publicized and published in the Faculty Handbook.

It is the special responsibility of those in charge of recommending appointments to make a thorough search of available talent before recommending appointees. At a minimum, the search for full-time tenure-track or tenured faculty and academic administrators shall include the advertisement of available positions in the appropriate media.

Decisions on tenure-track appointments must also take account of the academic needs of the department, school, college, and institution at the time of appointment and the projected needs at the time of consideration for tenure. This is both an element of sound academic planning and an essential element of fairness to candidates for tenure-track positions. Academic units shall select for initial appointment those candidates who, at the time of consideration for tenure, are most likely to merit tenure and also whose areas of expertise are most likely to be compatible with the unit's projected programmatic needs. The same concern shall be shown in the renewal of tenure-track appointments.

Each college, school, and department shall develop brief, general, written Criteria for Tenure and/or Promotion. The criteria to be considered in appointments and promotions fall into three general categories: (1) performance in teaching, advising, and mentoring of students; (2) performance in research, scholarship, and creative activity; (3) performance of professional service to the university, the profession, or the community. The relative importance of these criteria may vary among different academic units, but each of the categories shall be considered in every decision. The criteria for appointment to a faculty rank or tenure shall be the same as for promotion to that rank (or for tenuring at the rank of associate professor), whether or not the individual is being considered for an administrative appointment. An academic unit's general Criteria for Tenure and/or Promotion must receive the approval of the next level administrator. Any exceptional or unusual arrangements relating to criteria for tenure and/or promotion shall be specified in writing at the time of appointment and shall be approved by the faculty and administrator of the first-level unit, by the dean of the school or college, and by the Provost.

Upon appointment, each new faculty member shall be given by his or her chair or dean a copy of the unit's Criteria for Tenure and/or Promotion and the chair or dean shall discuss the Criteria with the faculty member. Each faculty member shall be notified promptly in writing by his or her chair or dean of any changes in the unit's Criteria for Tenure and/or Promotion.

Decisions on promotion of tenured faculty members shall be based on the academic merit of the candidate as evaluated using the relevant Criteria. Decisions on the renewal of untenured appointments and on promotion decisions involving the granting of tenure shall be based on the academic merit of the candidate as evaluated using the relevant Criteria and on the academic needs of the department, school, college, and institution. Considerations relating to the present or future programmatic value of the candidate's particular field of expertise, or other larger institutional objectives, may be legitimately considered in the context of a tenure decision. In no case, however, may programmatic considerations affecting a particular candidate be changed following the first renewal of the faculty contract of that candidate. It is essential that academic units develop long-range projections of programmatic needs in order that decisions on tenure and tenure-track appointments and promotions to tenure ranks be made on a rational basis.

A. Teaching and Advisement

Superior teaching and academic advisement at all instructional levels (or reasonable promise thereof in the case of initial appointments) are essential criteria in appointment and promotion. Every effort shall be made to recognize and emphasize excellence in teaching and advisement. The general test to be applied is that the faculty member be engaged regularly and effectively in teaching and advisement activities of high quality and significance.

The responsibility for the evaluation of teaching performance rests on the

academic unit of the faculty member. Each academic unit shall develop and disseminate the criteria to be used in the evaluation of the teaching performance of its members. The evaluation should normally include opinions of students and colleagues.

B. Research, Scholarship, and Artistic Creativity

Research, scholarship and artistic creativity are among the primary functions of the university. A faculty member's contributions will vary from one academic or professional field to another, but the general test to be applied is that the faculty member be engaged continually and effectively in creative activities of distinction. Each academic unit shall develop and disseminate the criteria for evaluating scholarly and creative activity in that unit.

Research or other activity of a classified or proprietary nature shall not be considered in weighing an individual's case for appointment or promotion.

C. Service

In addition to a demonstrated excellence in teaching and in research, scholarship and artistic creativity, a candidate for promotion should have established a commitment to the University and the profession through participation in service activities. Such participation may take several different forms: service to the university; to the profession and higher education; and to the community, school systems, and governmental agencies. Service activity is expected of the faculty member, but service shall not substitute for teaching and advisement or for achievement in research, scholarship, or artistic creativity. Service activity shall not be expected or required of junior faculty to the point that it interferes with the development of their teaching and research.

III. APPOINTMENT OF FACULTY

A. Search Process

1. Recruitment of faculty shall be governed by written search procedures, which shall anticipate and describe the manner in which new professorial faculty members will be recruited, including arrangements for interinstitutional appointments, interdepartmental appointments, and appointments in new academic units.
2. Search procedures shall reflect the commitment of the University to equal opportunity and affirmative action. Campus procedures shall be widely disseminated and published in the Faculty Handbook.

3. Faculty review committees are an essential part of the review and recommendation process for new full-time faculty appointments. The procedures which lead to new faculty appointments should hold to standards at least as rigorous as those that pertain to promotions to the same rank.

B. Offers of Appointment

1. An offer of appointment can be made only with the approval of the President or his or her designee. Full-time appointments to the rank of Associate Professor or Professor require the written approval of the President.
2. All faculty appointments are made to a designated rank effective on a specific date. A standard letter of appointment shall be developed for each rank and tenure status and shall be approved by the Office of the Attorney General for form and legal sufficiency. The University shall publish in a designated section of the Faculty Handbook all duly approved System and University policies and procedures which set forth faculty rights and responsibilities. Subject to the provisions of paragraphs I.C.15 and I.C.17 of the System Policy on Appointment, Rank, and Tenure of Faculty and paragraph III.C of this document, the terms described in the letter of appointment, together with the policies reproduced in the designated portions of the Faculty Handbook, shall constitute a contractually binding agreement between the University and the appointee.

C. Provisions Related to Appointments, Promotion, and Tenure

The following provisions are adapted from the System Policy on Appointments, Rank, and Tenure to reflect the mission of the University of Maryland at College Park and are to be furnished to all new faculty at the time of initial appointment.

1. Adjustments in salary or advancement in rank may be made under these policies, and, except where a definite termination date is a condition of appointment, the conditions pertaining to the rank as modified shall become effective as of the date of the modification.
2. Subject to any special conditions specified in the letter of appointment, full-time appointments to the rank of Assistant Professor shall be for an initial term of one to three years. The first year of the initial appointment shall be a probationary year, and the appointment may be terminated at the end of that fiscal year if the appointee is so notified by March 1. In the event that the initial appointment is for two years, the appointment may be terminated if the appointee is so notified by December 15 of the second

year. After the second year of the initial appointment, the appointee shall be given one full year's notice if it is the intention of the University not to renew the appointment. If the appointee does not receive timely notification of nonrenewal, the initial appointment shall be extended for one additional year. An initial appointment may be renewed for an additional one, two, or three years. Except as set forth in paragraph III.C.3 below, an appointment to any term beyond the initial appointment shall terminate at the conclusion of that additional term unless the appointee is notified in writing that it is to be renewed for another term allowable under University System policies or the appointee is granted tenure. Such appointments may be terminated at any time in accordance with paragraphs III.C.5-11.

3. An Assistant Professor whose appointment is extended to a full six years shall receive a formal review for tenure in the sixth year. (An assistant professor may receive a formal review for tenure and be granted tenure earlier (cf. IV.A.4.)). The appointee shall be notified in writing, by the end of the appointment year in which the review was conducted, of the decision to grant or deny tenure. Notwithstanding anything in paragraph III.C.2 to the contrary, a full-time appointee who has completed six consecutive years of service at the University as an Assistant Professor, and who has been notified that tenure has been denied, shall be granted an additional and terminal one year appointment in that rank, but, barring exceptional circumstances, shall receive no further consideration for tenure. In the event that an Assistant Professor in his or her sixth year of service is not affirmatively awarded tenure by the President or otherwise notified of a tenure decision, then he or she shall be granted a one-year terminal appointment.
4. Full-time appointments or promotions to the rank of Associate Professor or Professor require the written approval of the President. Promotions to the rank of Associate Professor or Professor carry immediate tenure. New full-time appointments to the rank of Professor carry immediate tenure. New full-time appointments to the rank of Associate Professor may carry tenure. If immediate tenure is not offered, such appointments shall be for an initial period of up to four years and shall terminate at the end of that period unless the appointee is notified in writing that he or she has been granted tenure. An Associate Professor who is appointed without tenure shall receive a formal review for tenure. No later than one year prior to the expiration of the appointment, the formal review must be completed, and written notice must be given that tenure has been granted or denied. Appointments carrying tenure may be terminated at any time as described under paragraphs III.C.5-11.

5. A term of service may be terminated by the appointee by resignation, but it is expressly agreed that no resignation shall become effective until the termination of the appointment period in which the resignation is offered except by mutual agreement between the appointee and the President or designee.
6.
 - a. The President may terminate the appointment of a tenured or tenure-track appointee for moral turpitude, professional or scholarly misconduct, incompetence, or willful neglect of duty, provided that the charges be stated in writing, that the appointee be furnished a copy thereof, and that the appointee be given an opportunity prior to such termination to request a hearing by an impartial hearing officer appointed by the President or a duly appointed faculty board of review. With the consent of the President, the appointee may elect a hearing by the President rather than by a hearing officer or a faculty board of review. Upon receipt of notice of termination, the appointee shall have thirty (30) calendar days to request a hearing. The hearing shall be held no sooner than thirty (30) calendar days after receipt of such a request. The date of the hearing shall be set by mutual agreement of the appointee and the hearing officer or faculty board of review. If a hearing officer or a faculty board of review is appointed, the hearing officer or board shall make a recommendation to the President for action to be taken. The recommendation shall be based only on the evidence of record in the proceeding. Either party to the hearing may request an opportunity for oral argument before the President prior to action on the recommendation. If the President does not accept the recommendation of the hearing officer or board of review, the reasons shall be communicated promptly in writing to the appointee and the hearing officer or board. In the event that the President elects to terminate the appointment, the appointee may appeal to the Board of Regents, which shall render a final decision.
 - b. Under exceptional circumstances and following consultation with the chair of the faculty board of review or appropriate faculty committee, the President may direct that the appointee be relieved of some or all of his or her University duties, without loss of compensation and without prejudice, pending a final decision in the termination proceedings. (In case of emergency involving threat to life, the President may act to suspend temporarily prior to consultation.)
 - c. The appointee may elect to be represented by counsel of his or her

choice throughout the termination proceedings.

7. If an appointment is terminated in the manner prescribed in paragraph III.C.6, the President may, at his or her discretion, relieve the appointee of assigned duties immediately or allow the appointee to continue in the position for a specified period of time. The appointee's compensation shall continue for a period of one year commencing on the date on which the appointee receives notice of termination. A faculty member whose appointment is terminated for cause involving moral turpitude or professional or scholarly misconduct shall receive no notice or further compensation beyond the date of final action by the President or Board of Regents.
8. The University may terminate any appointment because of the discontinuance of the department, program, school or unit in which the appointment was made; or because of the lack of appropriations or other funds with which to support the appointment. Such decisions must be made in accordance with written University policies. The President shall give a full-time appointee holding tenure notice of such termination at least one year before the date on which the appointment is terminated.
9. Notwithstanding any provisions to the contrary, the appointment of any untenured faculty member, fifty percent or more of whose compensation is derived from research contracts, service contracts, gifts or grants, shall be subject to termination upon expiration of the research funds, service contract income, gifts or grants from which the compensation is payable.
10. Appointments shall terminate upon the death of the appointee. Upon termination for this cause, the University shall pay to the estate of the appointee all of the accumulated and unpaid earnings of the appointee plus compensation for accumulated unused annual leave.
11. If, in the judgment of the appointee's department chair or supervisor, a deficiency in the appointee's professional conduct or performance exists that does not warrant dismissal or suspension, a moderate sanction such as a formal warning or censure may be imposed, provided that the appointee is first afforded an opportunity to contest the action through the established faculty grievance procedure.
12. Unless the appointee agrees otherwise, any changes that are hereafter made in paragraphs III.C.1-12 will be applied only to subsequent appointments.

13. Compensation for appointments under these policies is subject to modification in the event of reduction in State appropriations or in other income from which compensation may be paid.
14. The appointee shall be subject to all applicable policies and procedures duly adopted or amended from time to time by the University or the University System, including, but not limited to, policies and procedures regarding annual leave; sick leave; sabbatical leave; leave of absence; outside employment; patents and copyrights; scholarly and professional misconduct; retirement; reduction, consolidation or discontinuation of programs; and criteria on teaching, scholarship, and service.

D. Provisions Relating to Formal Promotion and Tenure Reviews

1. Reviews for promotion and tenure shall be conducted according to the duly adopted written policies and procedures of the University. These procedures shall be published in the Faculty Handbook.
2. Faculty review committees are a part of the review process at each level.
3. Each review by a faculty committee and each review by the administrator of an academic unit (chair or dean) shall be focused on the evaluation of the candidate using the Criteria for Tenure and/or Promotion of that unit. Each review shall be based on materials that must include the candidate's *c.v.*, the candidate's Personal Statement, the Summary Statement of Professional Achievements, the Candidate's Response to the Summary Statement of Professional Achievements (if one is written), the letters from external evaluators, and the other prescribed elements in the University Appointment, Promotion and Tenure Procedures Manual. At the second and third levels of review, these promotion materials include the promotion committee reports and the letters from academic unit administrators.
4. A faculty member eligible to vote on the promotion recommendation on a candidate of an academic unit may not participate in a review of that candidate or vote on that candidate at a higher level of review. Because they provide an independent evaluation, department chairs, academic deans, and the Provost are ineligible to vote at any level.
5. Candidates shall have the right to appeal negative promotion and tenure decisions on grounds specified in the policies and procedures of paragraph V.B.

IV. PROMOTION, TENURE, AND EMERITUS REVIEW

The Provost shall develop detailed written procedures, implementing the University and the System policies on appointment, promotion, and tenure. This set of procedures shall be known as the University's Implementation of the University Appointment, Promotion and Tenure Policy and these procedures shall govern the University's decision-making. The procedures developed shall be subject to review and approval by the University Senate. The Provost shall also develop useful guidelines, suggestions, and advice for candidates for tenure and/or promotion and for academic units responsible for carrying out reviews of candidates. Each year the Provost shall publish the University Appointment, Promotion and Tenure Procedures Manual. This manual shall contain the entire text of the University's Appointment, Promotion and Tenure Policy, the University's implementation of this policy, and the guidelines, suggestions, and advice for candidates and for academic units. The University's Implementation should contain the University's required procedures clearly identified as such. All guidelines, suggestions, and advice in the Manual must be so labeled and distinguished from the required procedures.

Each college, school, and department shall develop detailed written procedures implementing the University and System policies on appointment, promotion, and tenure and the University's implementation of the University's Policy. The procedures of each academic unit shall be subject to review and approval by the policy-setting faculty body of the college or school for an academic unit in a departmentalized college or school, as established in its plan of organization, by the dean, and by the University Senate.

The University's required procedures and the required procedures of each academic unit to which a candidate belongs shall apply to promotion and tenure decisions for all full-time faculty and for academic administrators who hold faculty rank, or who would hold faculty rank if appointed.

The Provost has the responsibility for systematically monitoring the fair and timely compliance of all academic units with the approved procedures of this Appointment, Tenure and Promotion Policy and for the prompt remedying of any failure to fulfill a Provision of this Policy that occurs prior to the institution of a formal tenure and/or promotion review. A violation of procedural due process during a formal review for tenure and/or promotion is subject to the provisions of Section V, The Appeals Process.

At the time of appointment, each new faculty member shall be provided by the chair or dean of the first-level unit with a copy of the University's Appointment, Promotion and Tenure Procedures Manual and the procedures for the lower-level academic units to which he or she belongs and the chair or dean shall discuss the procedures with the faculty member. Faculty members should stay up to date on these procedures and academic units should keep their faculty members informed of any changes.

Faculty review committees shall be an essential part of the review and recommendation

process for all full-time faculty. Review committees and administrators at all levels shall impose the highest standards of quality, shall ensure that all candidates receive fair and impartial treatment, and shall be responsible for maintaining the integrity and the confidentiality of the review and recommendation process.

Candidates for tenure and/or promotion are responsible for providing their academic unit with an accurate *curriculum vitae* detailing their academic and professional achievements. Candidates holding faculty rank at the University shall also make a written Personal Statement advocating their case for tenure and/or promotion based on the facts in their *c.v.*, on the applicable Criteria for Tenure and/or Promotion, and on their perspective of those achievements in the context of their discipline. Both the *c.v.* and the Personal Statement shall be presented in the form required by the University Appointment, Promotion and Tenure Procedures Manual at the beginning of the academic year in which a formal review for tenure and/or promotion will occur. These two documents shall be included with each request for external evaluation and shall be included in the promotion dossier reviewed at each level within the University. Within the University review system, units and administrators may express their judgments on the contents and on the significance of elements in either of the candidate's documents. Units may only ask in neutral language for external evaluators to comment on elements of these documents as part of their review but not suggest conclusions.

The burden of evaluating the qualifications and suitability of the candidate for tenure and promotion is greatest at the first level of review. Great weight shall be given at the higher levels of review to the judgments and recommendations of lower-level review committees and to the principle of peer review.

The decision whether or not to award tenure or promotion shall be based primarily on the candidate's record of accomplishment in each of the three areas of teaching and advisement, research, and service, and the anticipated level of future achievements as indicated by accomplishments to date. Considerations relating to the present or future programmatic value of the candidate's particular field of expertise, or other larger institutional objectives, may legitimately be considered in the context of a tenure decision; but in no case shall the year of the tenure review be the first occasion on which these considerations are raised. The faculty and the unit chair or dean are responsible for advising untenured faculty on any and all programmatic considerations relative to the tenure decision, conveying such information to the candidate at the earliest opportunity during annual assessments of progress towards tenure.

When the President has completed his or her review of the tenure or promotion case and informed the candidate of the decision, the list of members of the unit, college, and campus committees shall be made public.

A. First-level Review

1. Eligible Voters: At the first-level unit of review, the review committee shall consist of all members of the faculty of that unit who are eligible to vote. To be eligible to vote within the first-level unit, the faculty member must hold a tenured appointment in the university and must be at or above the rank to which the candidate seeks appointment or promotion. Tenured faculty voting on promotions cases at the first-level of review may only do so in a single academic department or non-departmentalized school, and may only vote in units in which they have a regular appointment and where this is permitted by the unit's plan of organization. In those cases where a faculty member has the opportunity to vote in more than one department or non-departmentalized school, the faculty member votes in that department/school in which the faculty member holds tenure.

In those cases where a faculty member has the opportunity to vote at more than one level of review, the faculty member votes at the first level of review at which the faculty member has the opportunity to vote. There are two exceptions: (a) chairs or deans are excluded from voting as faculty in their first level unit; (b) if there are fewer than three (3) eligible faculty members in the first-level unit, the dean at his/her discretion shall appoint one or more eligible faculty members from related units as voting members of the first-level review committee, to ensure that the review committee shall contain at least three (3) persons. Consequently, in promotion and tenure cases of faculty with joint appointments, faculty appointed by the dean to the first-level review committee of the primary unit, who are also members of a secondary unit providing input on a candidate, are permitted to vote on the candidate only in the primary unit where they have been appointed as member of the review committee by the Dean.

Although they do not have voting privileges, other faculty and the head of the first-level unit may be invited to participate in discussion about the candidate if the plan of organization and the bylaws of the unit permit.

Advisory Subcommittee: The first-level unit review committee may establish an advisory subcommittee to gather material and make recommendations, but the vote of the entire eligible faculty of the first-level unit shall be considered the faculty recommendation of the first-level unit.

Conduct of the Review: The first-level review committee shall appoint an eligible member of the faculty from the first-level unit to serve as chair and spokesperson for the candidate's review committee. The chair of the review committee is responsible for writing the recommendation on the candidate and recording the transactions at the review meeting. Under no

circumstances may the chair of the unit or dean serve as spokesperson for the first-level unit review committee or write its report.

As the first-level administrator, the chair or dean shall submit a recommendation separately; the recommendation of the chair or dean shall be considered together with all other relevant materials by any reviewing committee at a higher level. Requests for information from higher level review units shall be transmitted to both the chair of the first-level unit review committee and the first-level unit administrator.

Joint Appointments: Faculty members with joint appointments hold both a primary appointment (in their tenure home) and one or more secondary appointments (in the unit or units that are not their tenure home). When a joint appointment candidate is reviewed for appointment, promotion and/or tenure, the primary appointment unit is responsible for making the recommendation after first obtaining advisory input from the (one or more) secondary units, as appropriate. The advisory input from secondary unit(s) will be as follows:

- If the candidate holds a temporary appointment in the secondary unit, then the secondary unit's advice to the primary unit shall consist solely of a written recommendation by the chair or director of the secondary unit.
- If the candidate holds a permanent appointment in a secondary unit that is neither an academic department nor a non-departmentalized school, then the director's recommendation will be informed by advice from the faculty in the unit who are at or above the rank to which the candidate aspires. That advice shall be in a format consistent with the unit's plan of organization. If the plan of organization includes a vote, the vote may not include those eligible to vote elsewhere on the candidate.
- If the candidate holds a permanent appointment in a secondary unit that is either an academic department or a non-departmentalized school, then there shall be both a vote of the faculty in the unit who are at or above the rank to which the candidate aspires and a written recommendation by the head of that unit. The restriction on multiple faculty votes continues to apply in this instance.

The secondary unit's review of the candidate shall be provided to the first-level unit review committee and the first-level administrator. If the chair/director of the secondary unit is also a member of the candidate's primary unit, the chair/director may participate in the deliberations of the primary unit, but may not vote on the candidate's promotion in that unit.

2. The committee shall solicit letters of evaluation from six or more widely recognized authorities in the field, chosen from a list that shall include individuals nominated by the candidate. At least three letters and at most one-half of the requested letters shall be from persons nominated by the candidate.

3. Each first-level unit shall provide for the mentoring of each assistant professor and of each untenured associate professor by one or more members of the senior faculty other than the chair or dean of the unit. Mentors should encourage, support, and assist these faculty members and be available for consultation on matters of professional development. Mentors also need to be frank and honest about the progress toward fulfilling the criteria for tenure and/or promotion. Following appropriate consultations with members of the unit's faculty, the chair or dean of the unit shall independently provide each assistant professor and each untenured associate professor annually with an informal assessment of his or her progress. Favorable informal assessments and positive comments by mentors are purely advisory to the faculty member and do not guarantee a favorable tenure and/or promotion decision.

The first-level academic unit shall perform a formal intermediate review of the progress towards meeting the criteria for tenure and promotion in the third year of an assistant professor's appointment. The first-level academic unit shall perform a formal intermediate review of the progress towards meeting the criteria for promotion to the rank of professor in the fifth year of a tenured associate professor's appointment and every five years thereafter. An associate professor may request an intermediate review earlier than the five years specified. The purposes of these intermediate reviews are to assess the candidate's progress toward promotion, to inform the reviewed faculty member of that assessment, to inform the faculty members more senior to that faculty member who will eventually consider him or her for promotion of that assessment, and to advise the candidate and the first-level administrator of steps that should be taken to improve prospects for promotion. These intermediate reviews shall be structured in a similar fashion to reviews for tenure and/or promotion according to the unit's plan of governance but normally will not involve external evaluations of the faculty member. If it is deemed necessary to obtain informal external evaluations, the academic unit must adopt written procedures applying this requirement to all intermediate reviews and these procedures must be approved by the academic administrator (dean or provost) at the next level of review.

Any change in the nature of the institution's or the unit's programmatic needs which may have a bearing on the candidate's prospects for tenure

should be brought to the attention of the candidate at the earliest possible time. In addition, first-level units shall make the best possible effort to advise tenure-track faculty of the prevailing standards of quality and of the most effective ways to demonstrate that they meet the standards. The advice and assessments provided to untenured candidates should avoid simplistic quantitative guidelines and should not suggest or imply that tenure decisions will be based on the quantity of effort or scholarly activity, independently of its intellectual quality.

4. A tenure-track or tenured faculty member may request a formal review for tenure or promotion.
5. The tenure or promotion case shall go forward to the next level of review if fifty percent of the faculty vote cast is favorable (or such higher percentage as may be established by procedures or guidelines of the first-level unit) or if the recommendation of the administrator of the first-level unit is favorable. If both faculty and unit administrator recommendations are negative, the case shall be reviewed at the next level only by the dean (or, in the case of a non-departmentalized school or college, the Provost). The dean (or Provost) shall review the case to ensure that the candidate has received procedural and substantive due process, as defined in Section V.B.1.b. If the dean (or Provost) believes that the candidate has not received due process, he or she shall direct the unit to reconsider. The candidate may withdraw from his or her review at any time prior to the President's decision.
6. The first-level review committee shall prepare a concise Summary Statement of Professional Achievements on each candidate for tenure and/or promotion. The Summary Statement shall place the professional achievements of the candidate in scholarship, research, artistic performance, and/or Extension in the context of the broader discipline. It shall place the candidate's professional achievements in teaching and in service in the context of the responsibilities of the unit, the college or school, the University, and the greater community. The Summary Statement shall be factual and objective, not evaluative. The Summary Statement shall be reviewed by the candidate at least two weeks before the meeting at which the academic unit begins consideration of its recommendation on tenure and/or promotion. If the candidate and the committee cannot agree on the Summary Statement, the candidate has the right and the responsibility to submit a Response to the Summary Statement of Professional Achievements for the consideration of the voting members of the review committee and the academic unit must note the existence of the Response in the unit's Summary Statement. The purpose of the Summary Statement is to set the candidate's work in the

context of the field for each level of review within the University and it is not to be sent to external evaluators or others outside the University.

7. The chair of the first-level review committee shall prepare a written report stating the committee's vote and recommendation on whether or not to grant tenure or promotion, and explaining the basis for the faculty's recommendation insofar as that basis has been made known in the discussions taking place among the members of the committee. This letter will be provided to the chair or dean for his or her information and for forwarding to higher levels of review. Faculty participating in the unit's deliberation who wish to express a dissenting view are free to do so, and any such written statement shall be included in the materials sent forward to the next level of review.
8. The recommendation of the first-level administrator shall likewise be in writing. The administrator's recommendation shall be transmitted to the second-level review and shall be made available to all eligible members of the first-level faculty.
9. If a faculty member must be given a formal review for tenure in accordance with paragraph I.C.4 of the University of Maryland System Policy and paragraph III.C.3 of this policy, and the chair or dean of the first-level academic unit of which the appointee is a member fails to transmit, by the date specified in paragraph IV.F.2 of this policy, a tenure recommendation for the appointee, the Provost shall extend the deadline for the transmittal of such recommendations and instruct the first-level unit to forward recommendations and all supporting documents as expeditiously as possible.

B. Second-level Review

1. Second-level review of recommendations for promotion and tenure from departments shall be conducted within the appropriate college. The second-level review committees shall be established in conformity with the approved bylaws of the college. The dean may be a non-voting ex-officio member but not a voting member of the committee. Each second-level committee shall elect its own chair and an alternate chair; the latter shall serve as chair when a candidate from the chair's own unit is under discussion. A committee member who is entitled to vote in a lower-level review of a candidate may be present for the discussion of that candidate but shall not participate in the discussion in any way and shall not vote on that candidate. The committee members must maintain absolute confidentiality in their consideration of cases. Outside of the committee meetings, members of the second-level review committee shall not discuss

specific cases with anyone who is not a member of the second-level review committee. The membership of the committee shall be made public at the time of the committee's appointment. Every member of the campus community must respect the integrity of the appointment, tenure and promotion process and must refrain from attempting to discuss cases with committee members or to lobby them in any way.

2. Review of recommendations for promotion and tenure from non-departmentalized schools and colleges shall be conducted by the third-level review (see Section IV.C.1) committee.
3. Both the recommendation of the second-level committee and the recommendation of the second-level administrator shall go forward to be considered, together with all other relevant materials, at higher levels of review.
4. When significant questions arise regarding the recommendations from the first-level review or the contents of the dossier, the second-level review committee shall provide an opportunity for the chair of the first-level academic unit and the designated spokesperson of the first-level unit review committee to meet with the second-level committee to discuss their recommendations; the committee shall provide them with a written list of the committee's general concerns about the candidate's case prior to the meeting. The second-level review committee may also request additional information from the first level of review by following the procedures described in Section F1 below.
5. Whether its recommendation is favorable or unfavorable, the committee shall, as soon as possible and no later than thirty (30) days after the decision, transmit through the dean its decision, its vote, and a written justification to the Provost. The dean of the college shall also promptly transmit his or her recommendation with a written justification to the Provost.

C. Third-level Review

1. A third- or campus-level review committee shall be established in the following manner: The Provost shall appoint nine faculty members holding the rank of Professor, one from each of the eight large colleges (Agriculture and Natural Resources; Arts and Humanities; Behavioral and Social Sciences; Business; Computer, Mathematical, and Natural Sciences; Education; Engineering; School of Public Health) and one from among the four small colleges (Architecture, Planning, and Preservation; Information Studies; Journalism; Public Policy). Since this committee

shall make its recommendations on the basis of whether or not the University's high standards for tenure and/or promotion have been met, members of this committee shall have a track record of outstanding academic judgment along with sufficient intellectual breadth and depth to be capable of comparing and judging candidates from varied disciplinary, cross-disciplinary, and professional backgrounds. No small college shall be represented on the committee more frequently than once in every three terms. Candidates for the committee shall be solicited from the Deans of the Colleges and Schools, from the Senate Executive Committee, and from the faculty at large. No one serving in a full-time administrative position may serve as a voting member of the committee. The Provost shall be a non-voting ex-officio member. A committee member who is entitled to vote in a lower-level review of a candidate shall not be present for the discussion of that candidate and shall not vote on that candidate.

Appointments to the third-level review committee from the eight large colleges shall be for three years while the appointment from one of the five small colleges shall be for two years, with the terms staggered so that approximately one-third of the committee is replaced each year. No one may serve two consecutive terms. The third-level review committee shall elect its own chair and alternate chair. The committee members must maintain absolute confidentiality in their consideration of cases. Outside of the committee meetings, members of the third-level review committee shall not discuss specific cases with anyone who is not a member of the third-level review committee. The membership of the committee shall be made public at the time of the committee's appointment. Every member of the campus community must respect the integrity of the appointment, tenure and promotion process and must refrain from attempting to discuss cases with committee members or to lobby them in any way.

2. When questions arise regarding the recommendations from either the first- or second-level reviews or the contents of the dossier, the third-level committee shall provide the opportunity for the first-level unit administrator, the spokesperson for the first-level faculty review committee, the dean of the college, and the chair of the second-level review committee to meet with the third-level committee to discuss their recommendations; the committee shall provide them with a written list of the committee's general concerns about the candidate's case prior to the meeting. The third-level review committee may also request additional information from the first and second levels of review by following the procedures prescribed in Section F1 below.
3. The committee shall promptly transmit its recommendation and a written justification through the Provost to the President, along with all materials provided from the lower levels of review. The Provost and the President

shall confer about the case, and the Provost shall transmit his or her recommendation and a written justification to the President. If the Provost's recommendation differs from that of the third-level committee or from that of the Dean, the Provost will meet with the committee and/or the dean to discuss the review. After the President has made a decision, a report on the decisions reached at the third level of review shall be provided to the second-level administrator and faculty committee chair, the first-level administrator and faculty chair, and to the candidate.

4. The Third-level Review Committee and the Provost shall conduct an end-of-the-year review of appointment, promotion, and tenure. The Committee shall write a public Annual report, the purpose of which includes improving the understanding of faculty members and of academic units about appointments, promotion, and tenure. The report should include any recommendations for improvements in policy, procedures, or the carrying out of reviews of candidates. The Provost shall write a public report annually giving statistical information on the appointment, promotion, and tenure cases considered during the academic year.

D. Notification to Candidates for Tenure and/or Promotion

Upon completion of the first-level review, the unit administrator at the first level shall within two weeks of the date of the decision: (1) inform the candidate whether the recommendations made by the faculty committee and the unit administrator were positive or negative (including specific information on the number of faculty who voted for tenure and/or promotion, the number who voted against, and the number of abstentions), and (2) prepare for the candidate a letter summarizing in general terms the nature of the considerations on which those decisions were based. At higher levels of review, summaries shall be provided to the candidate whenever either or both faculty and administrator recommendations are negative. The chair of the faculty committee shall review the summary letter prepared by the unit administrator in order to ensure that it accurately summarizes the considerations regarded as relevant by the faculty committee at that level. The chair of the faculty committee at each level shall be provided access to the unit administrator's letters to the candidate and to the next level of review in order to ensure that the summary accurately reflects the recommendation and rationale provided to higher levels of review. In addition, both letters shall be made available for review in the office of the chair (dean or Provost) by any member of the faculty committee at that level. In the event that the chair of the faculty committee and the unit administrator are unable to agree on the appropriate language and contents of the summary letter, each shall write a summary letter to the candidate. A copy of all materials provided to the candidate shall be added to the tenure or promotion file as the case proceeds through higher levels of review.

E. Presidential Review

Full-time appointments or promotions to the ranks of Associate Professor or Professor require the written approval of the President, in whom resides final authority for promotion and granting of tenure to faculty. Final authority for any appointment or promotion to the rank of Associate Professor or Professor cannot be delegated by the President.

F. General Procedures Governing Promotion and Tenure

1. With the exception of the third-level review committee, in their reviews of tenure and promotion recommendations from lower levels, upper-level administrators or review committees may not seek or use additional information from outside sources concerning a candidate's merits unless: (1) the materials forwarded from lower levels indicate the presence of a significant dissenting vote or divided recommendations from a lower level; (2) representatives from the first-level unit participate in the selection of additional persons to be consulted; and (3) the assessments received from these external sources are shared with and considered by the first-level review committee and by the unit's chair or dean; and (4) the review committee and the unit's academic administrator have the opportunity to reconsider their recommendations in the light of the augmented promotion dossier. The third-level review committee may seek additional information on any candidate as it chooses, although it must follow (2), (3) and (4) as described above. In doing so, the committee should ask the Provost to obtain the additional information from the Dean, who would then consult with the Department Chair to obtain faculty input. The evidential basis for upper-level committees and administrators should be restricted to the materials as assembled and evaluated by the first-level unit, with the exception of information obtained in compliance with the procedures just described. Candidates for tenure or promotion, however, are permitted to bring to the attention of the university administration any changes in their circumstances which might have a significant bearing on the tenure or promotion question. In the event that candidates for tenure or promotion bring information of this sort to the attention of upper-level committees or administrators after the first-level review has been concluded, these committees or administrators may take these changes into account in reaching their decisions and may elect to send the case back to the first-level for reconsideration.
2. The candidate's application and supporting materials, and the reports and recommendations of the first-level committee and administrator, shall be transmitted to the appropriate levels of secondary review no later than a

date set annually by the Provost.

3. If an untenured faculty member requests leave without pay for a year or more, the dean of the college in which the faculty member will be considered for tenure shall recommend whether or not the faculty member's mandatory tenure review will be delayed. A positive recommendation from the dean to stop the tenure clock shall require evidence: (1) that the leave of absence will be in the interest of the University, and (2) that the faculty member's capacity to engage in continued professional activity will not be significantly impaired during the period of the leave. The dean's recommendation shall be included in the proposal for leave submitted to the Provost. Delay of the mandatory tenure review requires the written approval of the Provost.
4. A faculty member who would otherwise receive a formal review for tenure may waive the review by requesting in writing that he or she not be considered for tenure. A faculty member who has waived a tenure review shall receive whatever terminal appointments he or she would have received if tenure had been denied. A faculty member at any rank who has been denied tenure and who is ineligible for further consideration shall receive an additional and terminal one-year appointment in that rank.
5. All recommendations for the appointment of faculty below the rank of Associate Professor shall be transmitted for approval through the various levels of review to the President or designee. Final authority for any appointment that confers tenure or for any appointment or promotion to the rank of Associate Professor or Professor cannot be delegated by the President.
6. After a negative decision by the President, candidates for promotion or tenure shall be notified by certified mail. Determination of the time limits for the period during which an appeal may be made shall be based on the date of the candidate's receipt of the President's letter.

G. Procedures Governing the Granting of Emerita/Emeritus Status

1. Associate Professors, Professors, Distinguished University Professors, Research Associate Professors, Research Professors, Senior Agents, Principal Agents, Librarians III, and Librarians IV who have been members of the faculty of the University of Maryland at College Park for ten or more years, and who give to their chair or dean proper written notice of their intention to retire, are eligible for nomination to emerita/emertus status (see I.E.7 Emerita, Emeritus). Only in exceptional circumstances may Professors with fewer than ten years of service to the

institution be recommended for emerita/emeritus status.

2. The decision whether or not to award emeritus standing shall be based primarily on the candidate's record of significant accomplishment in any of the three areas of (1) teaching and advisement, (2) research, scholarship, and creative activity, and (3) service.
3. If a faculty member gives notice of intention to retire before March 15, the first-level tenured faculty shall vote on emeritus standing within 45 days of the notice. If notice is given after March 15, the vote shall be taken no later than the 45th day of the following semester. The result of the vote shall be transmitted in writing to the candidate and to the administrator of the unit no later than ten days after the vote is taken. A faculty member who has not been informed of the decision concerning his or her emeritus standing within the time limits specified, shall be entitled to appeal the action as a negative decision in accordance with V.B.2.
4. The review committee of the first-level unit shall consist of all eligible members of the faculty. Eligible members of the faculty are all full-time tenured associate and full professors, as appropriate, excluding the chair or dean. The vote of the entire eligible faculty shall be considered the recommendation of the faculty. The chair or dean shall submit a recommendation separately; the recommendation of the chair or dean shall be considered together with all relevant materials by administrators at higher levels.
5. An emeritus case shall go forward to the next level of review if the department chair's recommendation is positive or the faculty vote is at least fifty percent favorable.
6. The chair of the first-level committee shall prepare a written report, stating the committee's vote and recommendation on whether or not to award emeritus standing and explaining the basis for the faculty's recommendation insofar as that basis has been made known in the discussions taken place among the members of the committee. This letter will be forwarded to the chair or dean for his or her information and for forwarding to higher levels of review. Faculty participating in the unit's deliberations who wish to express a dissenting view are free to do so, and any such written statement shall be included in the materials sent forward to the next level of review.
7. The recommendation of the first-level administrator shall also be in writing. The administrator's recommendation shall be transmitted to the second-level of review and a copy shall be made available for review by

any member of the faculty participating in the unit's review deliberations.

8. Second-level review of recommendations of emeritus standing shall be conducted by the appropriate dean. Second-level reviews of recommendations from non-departmentalized schools and colleges shall be conducted by the Provost. The second-level recommendation of the dean or the Provost, together with all other relevant materials, shall be transmitted to the President.
9. The President shall make the final decision on the award of emeritus standing.
10. Faculty members with ten or more years of service to the University who retired prior to the effective date of this policy and who have not been granted emeritus standing may apply to their departments for consideration as in Section IV.G.1.

H. Termination of Faculty Appointments for Cause

If a tenured or tenure-track faculty member whose appointment the campus administration seeks to terminate for cause requests a hearing by a hearing officer, the hearing officer shall be appointed by the President from a college or school other than that of the appointee, with the advice and consent of the faculty members of the Executive Committee of the Campus Senate. If the appointee requests a hearing by a faculty board of review, members of the board of review shall be appointed by the faculty members of the Executive Committee of the Campus Senate from among tenured Professors not involved in administrative duties.

V. THE APPEALS PROCESS

A. Appeals Committees

1. The President shall appoint an appeals committee. This committee shall consist of nine faculty members holding the rank of Professor, one from each of the eight large colleges (Agriculture and Natural Resources; Arts and Humanities; Behavioral and Social Sciences; Business; Computer, Mathematical and Physical Sciences; Education; Engineering; Chemical and Life Sciences) and one from among the five small colleges (Architecture, Planning, and Preservation; Health and Human Performance; Information Studies; Journalism; Public Policy). No small college shall be represented on the committee more frequently than once in every three terms. Candidates for the committee shall be solicited from the Deans of the Colleges and Schools, from the Senate Executive

Committee, and from the faculty at large. No one serving in a full-time administrative position and no one who has participated in the promotion and tenure review process of the appellant shall serve on the campus appeals committee. Appointment to the campus appeals committee shall be for one year, and no one may serve two consecutive terms. Appeals committees shall elect their own chairs. The committee members must maintain absolute confidentiality in their consideration of cases.

2. Special appeals committees at the college, school or campus level shall be appointed by the dean, Provost or President in a manner consistent with the policies, bylaws, or practice of the respective unit.

B. Guidelines and Procedures for Appeals

1. Negative Promotion and/or Tenure Decisions

a. Mandatory and Non-Mandatory Reviews

When a candidate for promotion and/or tenure receives notification from the President, dean or chair that promotion or tenure was not awarded, the candidate may appeal the decision by requesting that the President submit the matter to the Campus Appeals Committee for consideration. The request shall be in writing and be made within sixty (60) days of notification of the negative decision. If the request is granted, all papers to be filed in support of the appeal must be submitted to the Appeals Committee not later than one hundred and twenty (120) days after notification unless otherwise extended by the President because of circumstances reasonably beyond control of the candidate. In writing these appeals letters, the appellant should be aware that these letters serve as the evidentiary basis for investigations of the validity of the appeal and that, should the President accept the request and refer the appeal to the Campus Appeals Committee, these letters shall be shared by the Campus Appeals Committee with the parties against whom allegations are made and any other persons deemed necessary by the Committee for a determination of the issues.

b. Grounds for Appeal

The grounds for appeal of a negative promotion and tenure decision shall be limited to (1) violation of procedural due process, and/or (2) violation of substantive due process.

A decision may not be appealed on the ground that a different review committee, department chair, dean or Provost exercising sound academic judgment might, or would, have come to a different conclusion. An appeals committee will not substitute its academic judgment for the judgment of those in the review process.

Violation of procedural due process means that the decision was negatively influenced by a failure during the formal review for tenure and/or promotion by those in the review process to take a procedural step or to fulfill a procedural requirement established in relevant promotion and tenure review procedures of a department, school, college, campus or system. Procedural violations occurring prior to the review process are not a basis for an appeal and are dealt with under the provisions of paragraph 4 of the introduction to Section IV, Promotion, Tenure, and Emeritus Review.

Violation of substantive due process means that: (1) the decision was based upon an illegal or constitutionally impermissible consideration; e.g. upon the candidate's gender, race, age, nationality, handicap, sexual orientation, or on the candidate's exercise of protected first amendment freedoms (e.g., freedom of speech); or (2) the decision was arbitrary or capricious, i.e., it was based on erroneous information or misinterpretation of information, or the decision was clearly inconsistent with the supporting materials.

c. Standard of Proof

An appeal shall not be granted unless the alleged grounds for appeal are demonstrated by a preponderance of the evidence.

d. Responsibilities and Powers of the Appeals Committee

1. The appeals committee shall notify the relevant administrators and APT chairs in writing of the grounds for the appeal and meet with them to discuss the issues.
2. The appeals committee shall meet with the appellant to discuss and clarify the issues raised in the appeal.
3. The appeals committee has investigative powers. The appeals committee may interview persons in the review

process whom it believes to have information relevant to the appeal. Additionally, the Appeals Committee shall examine all documents related to the appellant's promotion or tenure review and may have access to such other departmental and college materials as it deems relevant to the case. Whenever the committee believes that a meeting could lead to a better understanding of the issues in the appeal, it shall meet with the appropriate party (with the appellant or with the relevant academic administrator and APT chair).

4. The Appeals Committee shall prepare a written report for the President. The report shall be based upon the weight of evidence before it. It shall include findings with respect to the grounds alleged on appeal, and, where appropriate, recommendations for corrective action. Such remedy may include the return of the matter back to the stage of the review process at which the error was made and action to eliminate any harmful effects it may have had on the full and fair consideration of the case. No recommended remedy, however, may abrogate the principle of peer review.
5. The President shall attach great weight to the findings and recommendations of the committee. The decision of the President shall be final. The decision and the rationale shall be transmitted to the appellant, the department chair, dean, chair(s) of the relevant APT committee(s) and Provost in writing.

e. Implementation of the President's Decision

1. When the President supports the grounds for an appeal, the Provost has the responsibility for oversight of the implementation of the corrective actions the President requires to be taken. Within 30 days of receipt of the President's letter, the Provost shall request the administrator involved to formulate a plan and a timeline for implementing and monitoring the corrective actions. Within 30 days after receipt of this letter, the administrator must supply a written reply. The Provost may require modification of the plan before approving it.
2. The Provost shall appoint a Provost's Representative to

participate in all stages of the implementation of the corrective actions specified in the approved plan for the re-review, including participation in the meeting or meetings at which the academic unit discusses, reviews, or votes on its recommendation for tenure and/or promotion for the appellant. The Provost's Representative shall participate in these activities but does not have a vote. After the academic unit completes its review, the Provost's Representative shall prepare a report on all of the elements of corrective action specified in the approved plan and this report will be included with the complete dossier to be reviewed at higher levels within the University. The Provost's Representative shall be a senior member of the faculty with no previous or potential involvement at any level of review or appeal pertaining to the consideration of the appellant for tenure and/or promotion except for the participation as Provost's Representative as defined in this paragraph.

3. The Provost's request and the administrator's approved plan of implementation must be included in the dossier from the inception of the review. Re-reviews begin at the level of review at which the violation(s) of due process occurred and evaluate the person's record at the time the initial review occurred unless otherwise specified by the President. The administrator at the level at which the errors occurred, in addition to evaluating the candidate for promotion, must certify that each of the corrective actions has been taken and describe how the actions have been implemented. Re-reviews must proceed through all levels of evaluation including Presidential review. The Provost's review of the dossier will include an evaluation of compliance with the requirements imposed in the President's decision to grant the appeal. If the Provost discovers a serious failure by the unit to comply with the corrective actions required, the Provost shall formulate and implement a new plan for corrective action with respect to the appellant. In addition, the Provost shall inform (in writing) the administrator of the unit where the failure arose and the Provost shall take appropriate disciplinary action.

f. Extension of Contract

In the event that the appellant's contract of employment will have terminated before reconsideration can be completed, the appellant may request the President to extend the contract for one additional year beyond the date of its normal termination, with the understanding that the extension does not in itself produce a claim to tenure through length of service.

2. Decision Not to Review

If a faculty member requests his or her first level academic unit to undertake a review for his or her promotion or early recommendation for tenure, and the academic unit decides not to undertake the review or fails to transmit a recommendation by the date announced for transmittals, as specified in IV.F.2, above, the faculty member may appeal to the dean (if in a department) or to the Provost (if in a non-departmentalized school or college) requesting the formation of a special appeals committee to consider the matter. The request shall be made in writing. It shall be made promptly, and in no case later than thirty (30) days following written notification of the decision of the first-level academic unit.

If the dean or Provost determines not to form a special appeals committee, the faculty member may appeal to the Provost (if the decision was the dean's) or to the President (if the decision was the Provost's) requesting formation of the special appeals committee. Request shall be made in writing. It shall be made promptly, and in no case no later than thirty (30) days following written notification of the decision of the dean or Provost.

The grounds for appeal and the burden of proof shall, in all instances, be the same as set forth in V.B.1.b and c, above. A committee shall not substitute its academic judgment for that of the first-level unit. The responsibility of a special appeals committee shall be to prepare findings and recommendations. The committee may, for example, recommend that the dean or Provost extend the deadline for transmitting a recommendation and instruct the first-level unit to forward supporting documents as expeditiously as possible. A decision by a dean or the Provost, upon receiving the findings and recommendations of a special appeals committee, shall be final. A decision by the President shall be final.

3. Decision Not to Renew

When, prior to the mandatory promotion and tenure decision, an untenured tenure-track faculty member receives notification that his or her appointment will not be renewed by the first-level unit, he or she may appeal the decision in the manner described in V.B.1.a above.

4. Emeritus Standing

An unsuccessful candidate for emeritus standing may appeal the decision in the manner described in V.B.1. above.

APPENDIX 2 - SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE CHARGE ON THE ACTIVATION OF THE USM "[INSTITUTION] PROFESSOR" TITLE AS "UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND PROFESSOR"



**University Senate
CHARGE**

Date:	October 1, 2012
To:	Ellin Scholnick Chair, Faculty Affairs Committee
From:	Martha Nell Smith  Chair, University Senate
Subject:	Activation of the USM “[Institution] Professor” Title as “University of Maryland Professor
Senate Document #:	12-13-16
Deadline:	November 2, 2012

The Senate Executive Committee (SEC) requests that the Faculty Affairs Committee review the attached proposal entitled, “Activation of the USM “[Institution] Professor” Title as “University of Maryland Professor.”

The University System of Maryland (USM) Policy on Appointment, Rank, and Tenure of Faculty (II-1.00) Section IIC (Faculty Ranks) includes a section on [Institution] Professor. As part of the *MPowering the State* initiative, the Presidents of the University of Maryland Baltimore and the University of Maryland at College Park would like to use the title of “University of Maryland Professor” for individual professors who play a major role in enabling cooperation between both institutions. The SEC requests that the Faculty Affairs Committee review whether the University of Maryland at College Park should activate this on our campus.

Specifically, we ask that you:

1. Review the USM Policy on Appointment, Rank, and Tenure of Faculty (II-1.00).
2. Consult with the University’s Office of Faculty Affairs on the impact of this new title on our faculty.
3. Review whether our peer institutions have instituted similar titles.
4. Consult with the University’s Office of Legal Affairs.
5. If appropriate, recommend whether the University of Maryland Policy on Appointment, Promotion, and Tenure of Faculty II-1.00(A) should be revised to include the University of Maryland Professor title.

We ask that you submit your report and recommendations to the Senate Office no later than November 2, 2012. If you have questions or need assistance, please contact Reka Montfort in the Senate Office, extension 5-5804.

APPENDIX 3 - ACTIVATION OF THE USM "[INSTITUTION] PROFESSOR" TITLE AS "UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND PROFESSOR" TITLE PROPOSAL



**University Senate
PROPOSAL FORM**

Name:	Juan Uriagereka
Date:	September 27, 2012
Title of Proposal:	Activation of the USM “[Institution] Professor” TITLE as “University of Maryland Professor”
Phone Number:	x-54252
Email Address:	juan@umd.edu
Campus Address:	1126 Main Administration, College Park, MD 20742
Unit/Department/College:	Office of the Senior Vice President and Provost
Constituency (faculty, staff, undergraduate, graduate):	Faculty/Administration
Description of issue/concern/policy in question:	<p>The USM POLICY ON APPOINTMENT, RANK, AND TENURE OF FACULTY, on section IIC (FACULTY RANKS), includes a section 7 on [INSTITUTION] PROFESSOR:</p> <p><u>[Institution] Professor:</u> This title may be used for nationally distinguished scholars, creative or performing artists, or researchers who would qualify for appointment at a University System of Maryland Institution at the level of Professor, but who normally hold full-time positions outside the University. Holders of this title may provide graduate students supervision, serve as principal investigators, and participate in departmental and college shared governance. Initial appointment is for three years and is renewable annually upon recommendation to the Provost by the unit head and the dean. This is a non-tenure track title.</p> <p>The present change in the APT policy would activate it as “University of Maryland Professor” and add one sentence at the end of the paragraph, as follows:</p> <p><u>University of Maryland Professor:</u> This title may be used for nationally distinguished scholars, creative or performing artists, or researchers who would qualify for appointment at a University System of Maryland Institution at the level of Professor, but who normally hold full-time positions outside the University. Holders of this title may provide graduate students supervision, serve as principal investigators, and participate in departmental and college</p>

	shared governance. Initial appointment is for three years and is renewable annually upon recommendation to the Provost by the unit head and the dean. This is a non-tenure track title. It can be used only for faculty holding appointments at both the University of Maryland College Park and the University of Maryland Baltimore.
Description of action/changes you would like to see implemented and why:	As part of the effort to strengthen <i>MPowering the State</i> , the Presidents of the University of Maryland at Baltimore and the University of Maryland at College Park (both of which are allowed to describe themselves as simply “University of Maryland”) would like to use the instantiation of the title of [Institution] Professor” as the title “University of Maryland Professor”, and apply it to individual professors who are playing a major role in enabling the cooperation between both institutions. No other titles would be activated.
Suggestions for how your proposal could be put into practice:	Once the title is activated, a general outline to implement the title would be as follows: The President will grant this title to full-time professor level UMB faculty who are active in <i>MPowering the State</i> programs. The UMB faculty must meet the requirements set by UMCP to be a UMCP Professor. The University of Maryland Professors will not be paid by UMCP except by mutual agreement between the two universities. Compensation from UMCP will be for services rendered to UMCP as part of an <i>MPowering the State</i> Program. No separate consideration will be paid by either institution solely due to award of the University of Maryland Professor title. Initial appointments are for three years and are renewable annually upon recommendation to the President by the Provost. Reciprocal conditions will hold at UMB with regards to the appointment of University of Maryland Professor of UMCP Professors at the UMB campus
Additional Information:	

Please send your completed form and any supporting documents to senate-admin@umd.edu or University of Maryland Senate Office, 1100 Marie Mount Hall.

**APPENDIX 4 - UNIVERSITY SYSTEM OF MARYLAND (USM) POLICY
ON APPOINTMENT, RANK, AND TENURE OF FACULTY**

**II - 1.00 UNIVERSITY SYSTEM POLICY ON APPOINTMENT, RANK, AND
TENURE OF FACULTY**

(Approved by the Board of Regents, April 5, 1989; Amended November 12, 1993; Amended October 6, 1995; Amended April 4, 1997; Amended July 11, 1997; Amended July 10, 1998; Amended December 4, 1998; Amended April 7, 2000; Amended October 27, 2000; Amended December 8, 2000; Technical Amendment September, 2002; Amended February 21, 2003; Amended October 22, 2004; Amended June 22, 2005 *{1st Paragraph Page 17 added}*, Amended June 20, 2008; Amended April 13, 2012)

This policy describes the general criteria and procedures related to faculty personnel actions which shall apply to all constituent institutions of the University of Maryland System. The specific criteria for the appointment, promotion, and granting of tenure to faculty by constituent institutions are dependent upon institutional mission. Final authority for the appointment, promotion, and granting of tenure of faculty resides in the chief executive officer of the institution.

I. APPOINTMENT OF FACULTY

A. SEARCH PROCESS FOR FULL-TIME FACULTY

1. Each constituent institution and the System Office shall have written procedures to describe the search process for full-time faculty members. These procedures shall anticipate and describe the manner in which all new faculty members will be recruited, including special arrangements for inter-institutional appointments, interdepartmental appointments, and appointments in new academic units. A copy of these procedures shall be filed in the office of the Chancellor.
2. Visiting faculty appointments are usually made for one academic year or less. Only in unusual circumstances shall a visiting appointment exceed a total of three years. A visiting faculty appointee can become a regular appointee only through a search process before or after the initial appointment in accordance with the institution's procedures, including adherence to affirmative action guidelines. Years of service in a visiting appointment may, upon mutual agreement of the faculty member and the institution, be counted as probationary years for purposes of consideration for tenure.
3. Search procedures shall reflect the commitment of the institution and the System to equal opportunity and affirmative action, and shall be widely publicized within the institution.
4. Faculty review committees are a part of the review and recommendation process for new full-time faculty appointments. In principle, the procedures which lead to faculty appointments should hold to standards at least as rigorous as those that pertain to promotions to the same academic ranks.

B. OFFERS OF APPOINTMENT

1. A final offer of appointment can be made only with the approval of the chief executive officer of the institution, or designee.
2. All faculty appointments shall be made to a designated rank and shall be effective on a specific date. Each institution shall develop a standard contract or letter of appointment for each rank and tenure status, which shall be approved by the Office of the Attorney General for form and legal sufficiency. Each institution shall also develop a faculty handbook which shall include system-wide policies and procedures approved by the Board of Regents and institutional policies and procedures approved by the chief executive officer of the institution that set forth faculty rights and responsibilities. The contract or letter of appointment shall constitute a contractually binding agreement between the institution and the appointee.

C. PROVISIONS RELATED TO APPOINTMENTS, PROMOTION, TENURE, AND PERMANENT STATUS

The following provisions are to be furnished to all new faculty at time of initial appointment.

1. Adjustments in salary or advancement in rank may be made under these policies, and, except where a definite termination date is a condition of appointment, the conditions pertaining to the rank as modified shall become effective as of the date of the modification. For tenure-track appointments, the year in which the appointee is entitled to tenure review under this policy (“mandatory tenure-review year”) shall be specified in the original and subsequent contracts/letters of appointment. Tenure review shall occur in that year unless otherwise agreed in writing by the institution and the appointee. Tenure in any rank can be awarded only by an affirmative decision based upon a formal review.
2. Appointments and reappointments to the rank of full-time Instructor without tenure may be for one to three years, provided no appointment without tenure may extend beyond the end of the mandatory tenure-review year. An appointment to the rank of full-time Instructor will be renewed automatically for one additional year unless the appointee is notified in writing to the contrary in accordance with the following deadlines: not later than March 1 of the first academic year of service if the current appointment expires at the end of that year, not later than December 15 of the second academic year of service if the current appointment expires at the end of that year, and not later than August 1 prior to the third or any subsequent academic year of service if the current appointment expires at the end of that year. For appointments beginning at times other than the start of an academic year, the institution may adjust the notice of nonrenewal dates accordingly by specifying such adjustments in the initial contract or letter of appointment. Appointments to the rank of Instructor may be terminated at any time in accordance with paragraphs I.C.6 through I.C.11.

Tenure in the rank of Instructor can be awarded only by an affirmative decision based upon a formal review. An Instructor without tenure, whose appointment has been extended to a sixth year of continuous, full-time service commencing on or after July 1, 1990, shall receive no later than during that sixth year a formal review for tenure. If the institution fails to conduct a tenure review in accordance with the schedule provided in this policy, the appointee is entitled to a further one-year appointment during which the tenure review shall take place. The appointee reviewed for tenure shall be notified in writing, by the end of the appointment year in which the review was conducted, of the decision either to grant or deny tenure. An instructor who has been reviewed during a mandatory review year, and notified in writing that tenure has been denied, shall be granted an additional and terminal one-year appointment in that rank, but barring exceptional circumstances, shall receive no further consideration for tenure.

An Instructor with or without tenure may be promoted to Assistant Professor. If an Instructor without tenure is appointed as an Assistant Professor, the provisions of I.C.3 apply to the appointment, except that the appointee's review for tenure must occur no later than the sixth year of continuous full-time employment, commencing on or after July 1, 1990, in the ranks of Instructor and Assistant Professor. Tenure in any rank can be awarded only by an affirmative decision based upon a formal review.

3. Appointments and reappointments to the rank of full-time Assistant Professor without tenure may be for one to three years, provided no appointment without tenure may extend beyond the end of the mandatory tenure-review year. An appointment to the rank of full-time Assistant Professor will be renewed automatically for one additional year unless the appointee is notified in writing to the contrary in accordance with the following deadlines: not later than March 1 of the first academic year of service if the current appointment expires at the end of that year, not later than December 15 of the second academic year of service if the current appointment expires at the end of that year, and not later than August 1 prior to the third or any subsequent academic year of service if the current appointment expires at the end of that year. For appointments beginning at times other than the start of an academic year, the institution may adjust the notice of nonrenewal dates accordingly by specifying such adjustments in the initial contract or letter of appointment. Appointments to the rank of Assistant Professor may be terminated at any time in accordance with paragraphs I.C.6 through I.C.11. Tenure in the rank of Assistant Professor can be awarded only by an affirmative decision based upon a formal review. An Assistant Professor without tenure, whose appointment has been extended to a sixth year of continuous, full-time service shall receive no later than during that sixth year a formal review for tenure. If the institution fails to conduct a tenure review in accordance with the schedule provided in this policy, the appointee is entitled to a further one-year

appointment during which the tenure review shall take place. The appointee reviewed for tenure shall be notified in writing, by the end of the appointment year in which the review was conducted, of the decision either to grant or deny tenure. An Assistant Professor who has been reviewed during a mandatory review year, and notified in writing that tenure has been denied, shall be granted an additional and terminal one-year appointment in that rank, but barring exceptional circumstances, shall receive no further consideration for tenure.

4. Appointments or promotions to the rank of full-time Associate Professor require the written approval of the chief executive officer of the institution. New full-time appointments to the rank of Associate Professor may carry immediate tenure provided that each such appointee has been formally reviewed for tenure. Otherwise, such appointments shall be for an initial period of one to four years, except that initial appointments for individuals with no prior teaching experience may be for a maximum of six years, and shall terminate at the end of that period unless the appointee is notified in writing that he or she has been granted tenure. Promotions to the rank of Associate Professor carry immediate tenure. Consequently such promotions may only be awarded subsequent to a formal tenure review and an award of tenure.

An Associate Professor who is appointed without tenure shall receive a formal review for tenure during the period of appointment in accordance with the following deadlines. If the appointment is for an initial period of one year, then the formal review must be completed, and written notice must be given that tenure has been granted or denied, by March 1 of that year. If the appointment is for two years, then the formal review must be completed, and written notice must be given that tenure has been granted or denied, by no later than December 15 of the second year. If the appointment is for more than two years, then the formal review must be completed, and written notice must be given that tenure has been granted or denied, by no later than August 1 prior to the beginning of the final year of the appointment. For appointments beginning at a time other than the start of an academic year, the institution may adjust the notice of tenure denial dates accordingly by specifying the adjustments in the initial contract or letter of appointment. Appointments to the rank of Associate Professor may be terminated at any time as described under paragraphs I.C.6 through I.C.11.

Tenure in the rank of Associate Professor can be awarded only by an affirmative decision based upon a formal review. If the institution fails to conduct a tenure review and provide the required notice in accordance with the schedule provided in this policy, the appointment (unless it is converted to an appointment with tenure) shall be extended for an additional year, so that the notice required by this sections may be provided in full. An Associate Professor who has been notified in writing that tenure has been denied, barring exceptional circumstances, shall receive no further consideration for tenure.

5. Full-time appointments or promotions to the rank of full-time Professor require the written approval of the chief executive officer of the institution. New full-time appointments to the rank of Professor may carry immediate tenure provided that each such appointee has been formally reviewed for tenure. Otherwise, such appointments shall be for an initial period of one to four years, except that initial appointments for individuals with no prior teaching experience may be for a maximum of six years, and shall terminate at the end of that period unless the appointee is notified in writing that he or she has been granted tenure. Promotions to the rank of Professor carry immediate tenure. Consequently such promotions may only be awarded subsequent to a formal tenure review and an award of tenure.

A Professor who is appointed without tenure shall receive a formal review for tenure during the period of appointment in accordance with the following deadlines. If the appointment is for an initial period of one year, then the formal review must be completed, and written notice must be given that tenure has been granted or denied, by March 1 of that year. If the appointment is for two years, then the formal review must be completed, and written notice must be given that tenure has been granted or denied, by no later than December 15 of the second year. If the appointment is for more than two years, then the formal review must be completed, and written notice must be given that tenure has been granted or denied, by no later than August 1 prior to the beginning of the final year of the appointment. For appointments beginning at a time other than the start of an academic year, the institution may adjust the notice of tenure denial dates accordingly by specifying the adjustments in the initial contract or letter of appointment. Appointments to the rank of Professor may be terminated at any time as described under paragraphs I.C.6 through I.C.11.

Tenure in the rank of Professor can be awarded only by an affirmative decision based upon a formal review. If the institution fails to conduct a tenure review and provide the required notice in accordance with the schedule provided in this policy, the appointment (unless it is converted to an appointment with tenure) shall be extended for an additional year, so that the notice required by this sections may be provided in full. A Professor who has been notified in writing that tenure has been denied, barring exceptional circumstances, shall receive no further consideration for tenure.

6. A term of service may be terminated by the appointee by resignation, but it is expressly agreed that no resignation shall become effective until the termination of the appointment period in which the resignation is offered except by mutual written agreement between the appointee and the chief executive officer of the institution or designee.

7. (a) The chief executive officer of the institution may terminate the appointment of a tenured or tenure-track appointee for moral turpitude, professional or scholarly misconduct, incompetence, or willful neglect of duty, provided that the charges be stated in writing, that the appointee be furnished a copy thereof, and that the appointee be given an opportunity prior to such termination to request a hearing by an impartial hearing officer appointed by the chief executive officer or a faculty board of review as provided for by the relevant institutional policy body. With the consent of the chief executive officer, the appointee may elect a hearing by the chief executive officer rather than by a hearing officer or a faculty board of review. Upon receipt of notice of termination, the appointee shall have thirty calendar days to request a hearing. The hearing shall be held no sooner than thirty calendar days after receipt of such a request. The date of the hearing shall be set by mutual agreement of the appointee and the hearing officer or faculty board of review. If the chief executive officer appoints a hearing officer or a faculty board of review is appointed, the hearing officer or board shall make a recommendation to the chief executive officer for action to be taken. The recommendation shall be based only on the evidence of record in the proceeding. Either party to the hearing may request an opportunity for oral argument before the chief executive officer prior to action on the recommendation. If the chief executive officer does not accept the recommendation of the hearing officer or board of review, the reasons shall be communicated promptly in writing to the appointee and the hearing officer or board. In the event that the chief executive officer elects to terminate the appointment, the appointee may appeal to the Board of Regents, which shall render a final decision.

(b) Under exceptional circumstances and following consultation with the chair of the faculty board of review or appropriate faculty committee, the chief executive officer may direct that the appointee be relieved of some or all of his or her institutional duties, without loss of compensation and without prejudice, pending a final decision in the termination proceedings. (In case of emergency involving threat to life, the chief executive officer may act to suspend temporarily prior to consultation.)

(c) The appointee may elect to be represented by counsel of his or her choice throughout termination proceedings.
8. If an appointment is terminated in the manner prescribed in paragraph 7 the chief executive officer of the institution may, at his or her discretion, relieve the appointee of assigned duties immediately or allow the appointee to continue in the position for a specified period of time. The appointee's compensation shall continue for a period of one year commencing on the date on which the appointee receives notice of termination. A faculty member whose appointment is terminated for cause involving moral turpitude or professional or scholarly misconduct shall receive no notice or further compensation beyond the date of final action by the chief executive officer or the Board of Regents.
9. The institution may terminate any appointment because of the discontinuance of the department, program, school or unit in which the appointment was made; or because of the lack of appropriations or other funds with which to support the appointment.

USM Bylaws, Policies and Procedures of the Board of Regents

Such decisions must be made in accordance with standards and procedures set forth in written institutional policies. The chief executive officer of the institution shall give a full-time appointee holding tenure notice of such termination at least one year before the date on which the appointment is terminated.

10. Notwithstanding any other provisions to the contrary, the appointment of any nontenured faculty member 50% or more of whose compensation is derived from research contracts, service contracts, gifts or grants, shall be subject to termination upon expiration of the research funds, service contract income, gifts or grants from which the compensation is payable.
11. Appointments shall terminate upon the death of the appointee. Upon termination for this cause the institution shall pay to the estate of the appointee all of the accumulated and unpaid earnings of the appointee plus compensation for accumulated unused annual leave.
12. Appointments to all other ranks not specifically mentioned in II.A.1 and all part-time appointments are for terms not to extend beyond the end of the fiscal year unless otherwise stipulated in the letter of appointment. Faculty appointed to ranks not specifically mentioned in II.A.1 on a full-time basis for a term not less than one academic year shall receive notice of non-renewal of contract based upon their length of continuous full-time service in such ranks. If such service is less than seven years, at least 90 days notice is required. If such service equals or exceeds seven years, at least six months notice is required. If the required notice is not provided prior to the termination of the then-current contract, this condition may be remedied by extending the contract by the number of days necessary to meet the notice requirement.
13. If in the judgment of the appointee's department chair or supervisor a deficiency in the appointee's professional conduct or performance exists that does not warrant dismissal or suspension, a moderate sanction such as a formal warning or censure may be imposed, provided that the appointee is first afforded an opportunity to contest the action through the established faculty grievance procedure.
14. Unless the appointee agrees otherwise, any changes that are hereafter made in paragraphs I.C.1 through I.C.13 will be applied only to subsequent appointments.
15. Compensation for appointments under these policies is subject to modification in the event of reduction in State appropriations or in other income from which compensation may be paid.
16. The appointee shall be subject to all applicable policies and procedures duly adopted or amended from time to time by the institution or the University System, including but not limited to, policies and procedures regarding annual leave; sick leave; sabbatical leave; leave of absence; outside employment; patents and copyrights; scholarly and professional misconduct; retirement; reduction, consolidation, or discontinuation of programs; and criteria on teaching, scholarship and service.

II. FACULTY RANKS, PROMOTION, TENURE, AND PERMANENT STATUS

A. GENERAL PRINCIPLES

1. The only faculty ranks which may involve a tenure commitment are: Professor, Associate Professor, Assistant Professor, Instructor, Distinguished University Professor, Senior Staff Scientist, Associate Staff Scientist, Assistant Staff Scientist, Principal Agent, Senior Agent, Agent, (i.e., II.C. 1a-1d, 2a-2c, 3d-3f) and such other ranks as the Board of Regents may approve. Appointments to all other ranks, including any qualified rank in which an additional adjective is introduced (such as “Clinical Professor” or “Medical School Professor”), are for a definite term and do not involve a tenure commitment (i.e., II.C. 2d-2h, 3a-3c, 4a-4g, 5a-5d, 6a-6g). Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this policy, faculty in certain ranks may be granted permanent status. The only faculty ranks which may involve a permanent-status commitment are Library II, Librarian III, and Librarian IV and such other ranks as the Board of Regents may approve. Permanent status may not be granted to an individual holding the rank of Librarian I.

Permanent status is defined as continuing employment such that a decision to remove an employee must be made by the President of the campus and must be justified by cause as defined by USM and campus policy. Permanent status is an employment status different from tenure.

Each institution shall develop criteria and procedures for the review process leading to the granting of promotion and/or permanent status to occur no later than the sixth year of continuous full-time employment. An appointee who has been notified that permanent status has been denied shall be granted an additional and terminal one-year appointment in that rank, but barring exceptional circumstances, shall receive no further consideration for permanent status. Permanent status can be awarded only by an affirmative decision based upon a formal review. Individuals who have been granted permanent status under BOR VII-2.15 – POLICY ON LIBRARIANS, which is superseded by this policy, shall retain this status. Appointments of faculty librarians with permanent status may be terminated at any time for cause. Cause shall include moral turpitude, professional or scholarly misconduct, incompetence, and/or willful neglect of duty. In addition to being terminated for cause, faculty engaged exclusively or primarily in library services may be terminated because of the discontinuation of the department, program, school, or unit in which the appointment was made or because of the lack of appropriations or other funds with which to support the appointment. Procedures for termination of faculty librarians with permanent status are those that apply to tenured and tenure-track faculty, as described in I.C.6 through I.C.11.

Appointments of faculty librarians who do not have permanent status may be terminated for cause under policies and procedures that apply to non-tenure track faculty.

Subject to the approval of the President or designee, the campus libraries of USM constituent institutions shall develop guidelines, procedures, and appropriate criteria for evaluating librarians' performance. These guidelines, procedures, and criteria should be monitored system-wide to ensure equity with respect to standards.

Every institution shall have written procedures governing the processes on granting promotion and permanent status. Following review for form and legal sufficiency by the Office of the Attorney General, these procedures must be submitted to the Chancellor for review and approval.

A person appointed to the position of Director shall serve in that capacity at the pleasure of the President or his or her designee, regardless of whether the appointee has at the time of the appointment, or obtains during the appointment, permanent status as a librarian.

2. In addition to the ranks listed in II.C (below), there may also be such other faculty ranks as institutions shall define and include in their respective appointment, rank, and tenure policies, subject to the approval of the Board of Regents.
3. Institutions should specify in writing to faculty at the time of appointment the length of appointment and the applicable terms and conditions of the appointment with regard to tenure.

B. CRITERIA AND PROCEDURES FOR PROMOTION AND TENURE

1. The criteria for tenure and promotion in the University of Maryland System are: (1) teaching effectiveness, including student advising; (2) research, scholarship, and, in appropriate areas, creative activities or other activities that result in the generation and application of intellectual property through technology transfer; and (3) relevant service to the community, profession, and institution. The relative weight of these criteria will be determined by the mission of the institution.
2. The activities considered to be within the criteria for promotion and tenure shall be flexible and expansive. The assessment of teaching, research/scholarship/creative activities, and service during the promotion and tenure process shall give appropriate recognition, consistent with the institution's mission, to faculty accomplishments that are collaborative, interdisciplinary, and interinstitutional and to faculty innovations in areas such as undergraduate education, minority-achievement programs, K-16 curriculum development, and technology-enhanced learning.
1. Every institution shall have written procedures governing the promotion and tenure process. Following review for form and legal sufficiency by the Office of the Attorney General, these procedures must be submitted to the Chancellor for review and approval. These procedures shall include, at a minimum, the following:

Criteria: A statement of criteria upon which reviews will be based, and guidelines for appointment or promotion to each academic rank, with recognition that institutional mission is the primary factor that defines these criteria.

Procedures: A description of tenure and/or promotion review procedures, including participants, documentation, degree of confidentiality, schedule of the annual cycle for reviews, and authority for final approval.

Appeals: A statement of the right of faculty to appeal promotion and tenure decisions, the grounds for such appeals, and a description of appeal procedures.

C. FACULTY RANKS

Following is a list of all faculty ranks utilized within the University of Maryland System. Specific ranks to be utilized within each constituent institution are to be dependent upon the mission of, and contained within the faculty personnel policies of, that institution.

1. FACULTY WITH DUTIES PRIMARILY IN INSTRUCTION, RESEARCH, AND SERVICE

- a. Instructor: The appointee ordinarily shall hold, at a minimum, the master's degree in the field of instruction, preferably with evidence of pursuit of the doctorate or other terminal degree. There shall be evidence also of potential for effective teaching and for a successful academic career.
- b. Assistant Professor: The appointee ordinarily shall hold the doctorate or recognized terminal degree in the field of specialization. The appointee should also show potential for superior teaching, service, and research, scholarship, or creative performance, commensurate with the mission of the institution.
- c. Associate Professor: In addition to having the qualifications of an Assistant Professor, the appointee ordinarily shall have had successful experience in teaching and research, scholarship, or creative performance, and, when appropriate to the mission of the institution, be competent to offer graduate instruction and direct graduate research. There shall also be evidence of relevant and effective service to the institution, the community, and the profession.
- d. Professor: In addition to having the qualifications of an Associate Professor, the appointee ordinarily shall have established an outstanding record of teaching and research, scholarship or creative performance, and, where appropriate to the mission of the institution, a national reputation. There shall be continuing evidence of relevant and effective service to the institution, the community, and the profession.

2. FACULTY WITH DUTIES PRIMARILY IN RESEARCH

- a. Assistant Staff Scientist: The appointee shall hold the doctoral degree in the field of specialization, and shall have indicated promise of a high degree of ability in research in some subdivision of the field.

USM Bylaws, Policies and Procedures of the Board of Regents

- b. Associate Staff Scientist: In addition to the qualifications of an Assistant Staff Scientist, the appointee shall have had extensive successful experience in research. Since this position may carry permanent tenure, the appointee's scholarly production and professional achievement in research will be thoroughly documented.
- c. Senior Staff Scientist: In addition to having the qualifications of an Associate Staff Scientist, the appointee shall have demonstrated a degree of proficiency in research sufficient to establish an excellent national reputation. Appointment to this rank carries tenure.
- d. Faculty Research Assistant: The appointee should be capable of assisting in research under the direction of the head of a research project and should have ability and training adequate to the carrying out of the particular techniques required, the assembling of data, and the use and care of any specialized apparatus. A baccalaureate degree shall be the minimum requirement.
- e. Research Associate: The appointee ordinarily should hold the doctoral degree in the field of specialization, or have relatively comparable experience. The appointee should be capable of carrying out individual research or collaborating in group research at the advanced level; should be trained in research procedures; and should have had the experience and specialized training necessary to develop and interpret data required for success in such research projects as may be undertaken. This appointment is made annually, with reappointment possible for a maximum of six years.
- f. Research Assistant Professor; Assistant Research Scientist; Assistant Research Scholar; Assistant Research Engineer: This rank is generally parallel to Assistant Professor. In addition to the qualifications of a Research Associate, appointees to this rank should have demonstrated superior research abilities. Appointees should be qualified and competent to direct the work of others (such as technicians, graduate students, other senior research personnel). The doctoral degree will be a normal requirement for appointment at this rank. Initial appointment to this rank is for periods up to three years, and reappointment is possible.
- g. Research Associate Professor; Associate Research Scientist; Associate Research Scholar; Associate Research Engineer: This rank is generally parallel to Associate Professor. In addition to the qualifications required of the Assistant ranks, appointees to this rank should have extensive successful experience in scholarly or creative endeavors, and the ability to propose, develop, and manage major research projects. Initial appointment to this rank is for periods up to three years, and reappointment is possible.
- h. Research Professor; Senior Research Scientist; Senior Research Scholar; Senior Research Engineer: This rank is generally parallel to Professor. In addition to the qualifications required of the Associate ranks, appointees to this rank should have demonstrated a degree of proficiency sufficient to establish an excellent reputation among regional and national colleagues. Appointees should provide tangible evidence

of sound scholarly production in research, publications, professional achievements or other distinguished and creative activity. Initial appointment at this rank is for periods up to five years, and reappointment is possible.

3. FIELD FACULTY

- a. Associate Agent: The appointee shall hold at least a bachelor's degree, shall qualify for acceptance in a graduate school, and shall show evidence of ability to work with people. The appointee shall have an educational background related to the specific position, and should demonstrate evidence of creative ability to plan and implement Cooperative Extension Service programs. This appointment is made annually, with reappointment possible for a maximum of six years. Attainment of a master's degree in an approved subject area is required before promotion can be considered.
- b. Faculty Extension Assistant: The appointee should be capable of assisting in Extension under the direction of the head of an Extension project and should have ability and training adequate to the carrying out of the particular methodology required, the assembling of data, and the use and care of any specialized apparatus. The baccalaureate degree shall be the minimum requirement.
- c. Faculty Extension Associate: The appointee should be capable of carrying out individual instruction or collaborating in group discussions at the advanced level; should be trained in Extension procedures; and should have had the experience and specialized training necessary to develop and interpret data required for success in such Extension projects as may be undertaken. An earned doctorate shall be the minimum requirement.
- d. Agent: The appointee must hold a master's degree in an appropriate discipline and show evidence of academic ability and leadership skills. The appointee shall have an educational background related to the specific position.
- e. Senior Agent: In addition to the qualifications of an Agent, the appointee must have demonstrated achievement in program development and must have shown originality and creative ability in designing new programs, teaching effectiveness, and evidence of service to the community, institution, and profession. Appointment to this rank may carry tenure.
- f. Principal Agent: In addition to the qualifications of a Senior Agent, the appointee must have demonstrated leadership ability and evidence of service to the community, institution, and profession. The appointee must also have received recognition for contributions to the Cooperative Extension Service sufficient to establish a reputation among State, regional and/or national colleagues, and should have demonstrated evidence of distinguished achievement in creative program development. Appointment to this rank carries tenure.

4. FACULTY ENGAGED EXCLUSIVELY OR PRIMARILY IN CLINICAL TEACHING
- a. Dental School Assistant Professor; Law School Assistant Professor; Medical School Assistant Professor; Nursing School Assistant Professor; Pharmacy School Assistant Professor; Social Work and Community Planning School Assistant Professor: Appointees to this rank shall hold, as a minimum, the terminal professional degree in the field, with training and experience in an area of specialization. There must be clear evidence of a high level of ability in teaching and clinical practice in the departmental field, and the capacity for clinical supervision in a subdivision of this field. Appointees should also have demonstrated scholarly and administrative ability.
 - b. Dental School Associate Professor; Law School Associate Professor; Medical School Associate Professor; Nursing School Associate Professor; Pharmacy School Associate Professor; Social Work and Community Planning School Associate Professor: In addition to the qualifications required of Assistant Professors in this series, appointees shall ordinarily have had extensive successful experience in clinical or professional practice in a field of specialization, or in a subdivision of the departmental field, and in working with and/or directing others (such as professionals, faculty members, graduate students, fellows, residents, or interns) in clinical activities of the profession. Appointees must also have demonstrated superior teaching ability and scholarly or administrative accomplishments.
 - c. Dental School Professor; Law School Professor; Medical School Professor; Nursing School Professor; Pharmacy School Professor; Social Work and Community Planning School Professor: In addition to the qualifications required of Associate Professors in this series, appointees shall have demonstrated a degree of excellence in teaching, and clinical and professional practice sufficient to establish an outstanding regional and national reputation among colleagues. Appointees shall also have demonstrated extraordinary scholarly competence and leadership in the profession.
 - d. Clinical Instructor: The appointee shall hold, as a minimum, the terminal professional degree in the field. There must be clear evidence of potential in clinical practice and teaching in the departmental field.
 - e. Clinical Assistant Professor: The appointee shall hold, as a minimum, the terminal professional degree in the field, with training and experience in an area of specialization. There must be clear evidence of a high level of ability in clinical practice and teaching in the departmental field, and the potential for clinical and teaching excellence in a subdivision of this field. The appointee should also have demonstrated scholarly and/or administrative ability.
 - f. Clinical Associate Professor: In addition to the qualifications required of a Clinical Assistant Professor, the appointee should ordinarily have had extensive successful experience in clinical or professional practice in a field of specialization, or in a subdivision of the departmental field, and in working with and/or directing others (such as professionals, faculty members, graduate students, fellows, and residents or interns) in clinical activities in the field. The appointee must also have demonstrated superior teaching ability and scholarly or administrative accomplishments.

- g. Clinical Professor: In addition to the qualifications required of a Clinical Associate Professor, the appointee shall have demonstrated a degree of excellence in clinical practice and teaching sufficient to establish an outstanding regional and national reputation among colleagues. The appointee shall also have demonstrated extraordinary scholarly competence and leadership in the profession.

5. FACULTY ENGAGED EXCLUSIVELY OR PRIMARILY IN LIBRARY SERVICES

The only librarian ranks with non-tenure faculty status are Librarian I, Librarian II, Librarian III, and Librarian IV and such other ranks as the Board of Regents may approve. These titles are to be granted to a limited number of appointees who fulfill roles defined by professional graduate training, such as librarian, curator, archivist, and information scientist. In the overwhelming number of instances, the professional graduate training required is an M.L.S. degree, which is considered the terminal degree in the practice of academic librarianship, from the American Library Association (ALA)-accredited program. However, each constituent institution may define instances when other graduate degrees may substitute for or augment the ALA-accredited M.L.S. Such exceptions will be based on and required by the functional needs of USM libraries, appointments to these ranks are normally for twelve months with leave and other benefits provided to twelve-month tenured/tenure-track faculty members, with the exception of terminal leave, sabbatical leave, and non-creditable sick leave (collegially supported).

- a. Librarian I: This rank is assigned to librarians just entering librarianship with little or no professional library experience but who have been judged to have demonstrated an understanding of the basic tenets of librarianship and a potential for professional growth. A Librarian I is not eligible for permanent status.
- b. Librarian II: Appointment or promotion to this rank signifies that the librarian has demonstrated effective professional knowledge and skills significantly above those expected of a Librarian I. Normally, a minimum of three years of professional experience is required.
- c. Librarian III: Appointment or promotion to this rank signifies that the librarian has mastered the skills, knowledge, and techniques of librarianship and has made meaningful contributions to the library, the institution, the library profession, and/or an academic discipline. Normally, a minimum of six years of professional experience is required, three of which must be at a level comparable to the rank of Librarian II at the appointing USM institution.
- d. Librarian IV: Appointment or promotion to this rank is exceptional. This rank is awarded to those librarians who have made distinctive contributions to the library, the institution, the library profession, and/or an academic discipline. This rank normally requires a minimum of nine years of professional experience, at least three of which must be at a level comparable to the rank of Librarian III at the appointing USM institution.

Subject to the approval of the President or designee, the campus libraries of USM constituent institutions shall develop guidelines, procedures, and appropriate criteria for evaluating librarians' performance. These guidelines, procedures, and criteria should be monitored system-wide to ensure equity with respect to standards.

6. FACULTY WITH DUTIES PRIMARILY IN INSTRUCTION AT UMUC

- a. Collegiate Instructor: The appointee to this non-tenure rank ordinarily should hold, at a minimum, a master's degree appropriate to the area of instruction and have evidence of potential effective teaching. The appointee also should have academic or professional experience relevant to the field.
- b. Collegiate Assistant Professor: The appointee to this non-tenure rank ordinarily shall hold, at a minimum, a terminal degree appropriate to the area of instruction. The appointee also should show potential for superior teaching as well as professional experience relevant to the field.
- c. Collegiate Associate Professor: In addition to having the qualifications of an Assistant Professor, the appointee to this non-tenure rank ordinarily shall have successful experience in teaching as well as professional experience relevant to the field.
- d. Collegiate Professor: In addition to having the qualifications of an Associate Professor, the appointee to this non-tenure rank ordinarily shall have established an outstanding record of teaching as well as professional experience relevant to the field.

7. ADDITIONAL FACULTY RANKS

- a. Assistant Instructor: The appointee should be competent to fill a specific position in an acceptable manner, but is not required to meet all the requirements for an Instructor. Appointment to this rank requires at least the appropriate baccalaureate degree.
- b. Lecturer: This title may be used for appointment at any salary and experience level of persons who are competent to fill a specific position but who are not intended to be considered for professorial appointment. Appointments to this rank shall be for terms not to exceed three years and are renewable.
- c. Senior Lecturer: In addition to having the qualifications of a Lecturer, the appointee shall have completed at least six years as a Lecturer (or in a rank at another institution of higher education that is accepted as comparable to Lecturer), and shall have established a record of teaching excellence and a record of service. Fewer than six years experience may qualify one for this rank if approved by the President or designee. Appointments to this rank shall be for terms not to exceed five years and are renewable.
- d. Artist-in-Residence; Writer-in-Residence; Executive-in-Residence: This title may be used to designate temporary appointments, at any salary and experience level, of

- persons who are serving for a limited time or part-time, and who are not intended to be considered for professorial appointment.
- e. Adjunct Assistant Professor; Adjunct Associate Professor; Adjunct Professor: These titles are used to appoint outstanding persons who may be simultaneously employed outside the institution. The appointee should have expertise in the discipline and recognition for accomplishment sufficient to gain the endorsement of the preponderance of the members of the faculty of the department to which he or she is appointed. Appointment is made on a semester or an annual basis and is renewable. These titles do not carry tenure.
 - f. Affiliate Assistant Professor; Affiliate Associate Professor; Affiliate Professor: These titles are used to recognize the affiliation of a faculty member or a member of the professional staff with an academic department, program or center when that individual's appointment and salary lie in another department of the institution. The appointment will be made upon the recommendation of the faculty of the department, and at a level commensurate with the appointee's qualifications, consistent with standards established for regular tenure track faculty, although tenure cannot be earned on an affiliated appointment. Each institution shall establish guidelines and procedures for awarding these titles.
 - g. Visiting Appointments: The prefix "Visiting" before an academic title is used to designate a short-term, full-time appointment without tenure.
 - h. Emeritus Faculty Appointments: Emeritus status may be bestowed by an institution on the basis of both quality and length of service to the institution. Each institution shall establish guidelines and procedures for awarding this title. Emeritus titles at the System level may be bestowed by the Chancellor.
 - i. Distinguished University Professor: This title may be bestowed by an institution to provide special recognition to faculty members. Each institution that chooses to utilize this title shall establish guidelines and procedures for awarding it.
 - j. Professor of the Practice: This title may be used to appoint individuals who have demonstrated excellence in the practice as well as leadership in specific fields. The appointee shall have attained regional and national prominence and, when appropriate, international recognition of outstanding achievement. Additionally, the appointee shall have demonstrated superior teaching ability appropriate to assigned responsibilities. As a minimum, the appointee shall hold the terminal professional degree in the field or equivalent stature by virtue of experience. Appointees will hold the rank of Professor but, while having that stature, will not have rights that are limited to tenured faculty. Initial appointment is for periods up to five years, and reappointment is possible. This title does not carry tenure, nor does time served as a Professor of the Practice count toward achieving tenure in another title. Each institution shall establish guidelines and procedures for awarding this title.

- k. [Institution] Professor: This title may be used for nationally distinguished scholars, creative or performing artists, or researchers who would qualify for appointment at a University System of Maryland Institution at the level of Professor, but who normally hold full-time positions outside the University. Holders of this title may provide graduate students supervision, serve as principal investigators, and participate in departmental and college shared governance. Initial appointment is for three years and is renewable annually upon recommendation to the Provost by the unit head and the dean. This is a non-paid non-tenure track title. ***(Amended - Paragraph added per BOR June 22, 2005 meeting)***

III. APPLICABILITY

- A. Except as provided in sections B. and C. below, the provisions in this policy shall apply to all individuals who are employed as faculty members in the University of Maryland System as of July 1, 1989, and individuals whose faculty appointment becomes effective on or after July 1, 1989.
- B. The provisions in this policy shall not apply to any faculty member who receives notice of non-reappointment at any time prior to July 1, 1989.
- C. Each tenure-track and tenured faculty member of an institution (i.e., a faculty member holding an academic rank specified in the first sentence of paragraph II. A. 1.) shall (1) be apprised of the provisions in Part I.C., paragraphs 1 through 14 of this policy at the earliest practical time following approval of this policy by the Board of Regents, and (2) be offered an opportunity to elect to remain subject to his or her written faculty contract in effect on April 4, 1989, to the extent and only to the extent that any provision of that contract is inconsistent with Part I.C., paragraphs 1 through 14 of this policy. The election shall be confirmed in a written and signed notification to the institution's chief executive officer on or before May 12, 1989. Absent such election by the faculty member, the provisions in Part I.C., paragraphs 1 through 14 of this policy shall apply pursuant to paragraph III. A.
- D. Upon the recommendation of the President of the University of Maryland, Baltimore, the Chancellor may establish alternative terms and conditions for the faculty of (a) the University of Maryland School of Medicine modifying the following sections of this Policy: I.C.2, I.C.3, I.C.4, I.C.5, I.C.7 (a), II.A.1, II.A.3, and II.C; and (b) the University of Maryland School of Law, modifying sections I.C.3 and I.C.4 of this Policy. The Chancellor shall specify the alternative terms and conditions in writing. Unless an appointee subject to the alternative terms and conditions agrees otherwise, any changes made in the alternative terms and conditions established at the time of that individual's appointment will not apply to that appointee.

For the School of Medicine, the alternative terms and conditions will apply to a tenure track or tenured faculty member appointed as of June 30, 1998, only upon that person's written request received by September 1, 1998. The alternative terms and conditions shall apply to other School of Medicine faculty as follows: all faculty first appointed and employed on or after July 1, 1998; non-tenure track faculty beginning

new appointment terms or changing from one type of appointment to another on or after July 1, 1998, effective as of the date of the new or changed appointment; and non-tenure track faculty whose appointments end after June 30, 1998, who so request by September 1, 1998.

For the School of Law, the alternative terms and conditions will apply to tenure track or tenured faculty members appointed on or after July 1, 2008. The alternative terms and conditions will apply to a faculty member appointed between June 1, 2005 and June 30, 2008, only upon that individual's written request to the Dean of the School of Law, submitted no later than September 1, 2008.

Replacement for: BOR VII-2.15 – POLICY ON LIBRARIANS